

## Impact on Cost by Reduction of Cement Content in Concrete by Application of Pce based Super Plasticizers

Akshay Dhawan<sup>1</sup> Nakul Gupta<sup>2</sup> Rajesh Goyal<sup>3</sup>

- 1. Department of Civil Engineering, GLA University Mathura 281406, Uttar Pradesh
- 2. Department of Civil Engineering, GLA University Mathura 281406, Uttar Pradesh
- 3. Department of Construction and Project Management, NICMAR Delhi NCR 124507, Haryana

Article Info Volume 83 Page Number: 10369 - 10379 Publication Issue: May - June 2020

Article History Article Received: 19 November 2019 Revised: 27 January 2020 Accepted: 24 February 2020 Publication: 18 May 2020

#### Abstract:

The construction industry majorly depends on the use of various construction materials out of which cement is one of the majorly used ingredients in the concrete that is of utmost importance. Cement is the glue binding concrete together, and it plays a major role in the properties of fresh and hardened concrete. One of the majorly used construction chemical is SUPERPLASTICIZERS, which is not only responsible for the improving the compressive strength of the concrete but also helps in reduce the w/c content of it. This research focuses on the effect of polycarboxylate based superplasticizers when used in the concrete mix. The goal is to investigate the possibility of reducing water/cement content in superplasticizer induced concrete.

Keywords: Cost Reduction; Cement Content; Superplasticizers;

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1. Need For Research**

Concrete is the most heavily used construction materials in the entire world today. One of the important elements in concrete is Portland cement. Cement in a conventional concrete mixture is not only more costly than most other materials, but it also has significant environmental effects. Approximately 8% of the carbon dioxide (CO2) production worldwide is created in association with the production of cement.Graphenenanoplatelets (GNPs) are considered one of the most advanced nanomaterials that hold the promise of providing multifunctional characteristics to the cementitious matrix (Kumar et al. 2020, Kumar et al. 2019, Kumar et al. 2020).

In addition, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) designated the cement industry as the 3rd largest contributor to pollution in the world(U S Environmental Protection Agency, 2015).Thus, the findings detailed within this report have potential to offer substantial monetary and financial savings.

#### 1.2. Objective

The objective of this paper majorly focuses on two aspects:

• Reducing the cement content by addition of superplasticizers into the concrete mix.



• Cost impact comparison between conventional concrete mix and superplasticizer induced concrete mix after reducing the cement content.

The first objective is pursued in order to identify the change in characteristic strength of the concrete if the cement content is reduced when the superplasticizer that has the properties of improving the strength and cracking resistant is added into the mix.

The second objective however refers to the cost impact if w/c content is reduced when polycarboxylate based (PCE) superplasticizers are added to the mix design (Shukla et al. 2019). This objective can only be fulfilled when the first objective is achieved.

#### **1.3Problem Definition**

The annual cement production growth rate is 4 percent due to the rapid growth of construction in developing countries(BARKER, 1963). As the use of excessive cement content in concrete increases this demand further, the concrete industry aims to identify and use the most suitable cement content for a given application.

#### 1.3.1Carbondioxide emission

During the cement manufacturing process at the portland approximately 80 percent of greenhouse gas emissions from concrete are emitted(Flower & Sanjayan, 2007). Furthermore, cement is the third-largest source of greenhouse gas pollution in the US(U S Environmental Protection Agency, 2015). There is therefore a pressure on the sector to reduce the environmental agencies ' carbon footprint.

### **1.3.2 Energy consumption**

The energy consumption cement of industry is 5 per cent of global industrial energy consumption(Atahan et al., 2009). Reduction of cement content is important if the environmental charge is to be reduced due to energy consumption [Singh et al 2020].

#### 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

#### 2.1Cement

Cement plays a major role within a concrete mixture and influences most important aspects of the mix, including: workability, compressive strength, drying shrinkage, and toughness. Cement particles react with water through the hydration process, binding the aggregate and the strength matrix develops(Robert et al., 2018). Recent research, however, has shed light on the relationship between cement content and cracking, and how over-designing can harm concrete. As the amount of cement in a mix increases, the compressive strength also increases. Nonetheless, due to the high cost of cement relative to other concrete materials, and the long-term effects caused by crack repair, costs often increase.

### 2.1.1. Cement content and workability

Cement material and cement fineness play important roles when it comes to influencing concrete workability. If there is too much cement in a mix in comparison to its water content, the mix would probably be hard to put. The mixture can segregate with too much water however, making it equally difficult to use on the job for the intended purpose(Barbhuiya et al., 2009).Therefore, choosing the amount of cement needed for a mix is crucial to the design of the concrete mixture, influencing its workability and overall construction costs.

#### 2.1.2. Cement content and compressive strength

Increase of cement content typically results in arise in compressive strength, however with all other factors the w/cm has the greater effect on strength. There are limits to the linear relationship between compressive strength and cement content as provided See Fig.1. Found there was minimum cement content required to meet the 28-day strength. Once this value was achieved, the addition of cement beyond this amount did not significantly raise the compressive strength.

Published by: The Mattingley Publishing Co., Inc.





Figure.1. Relationship of concrete compressive strength and cementitious content

#### 2.2Superplasticizers

Through analysis with the rotational rheometer, the effect of superplasticizers on the rheological properties of the mortar can be precisely calculated. On this basis, the compatible cement-superplastizing system can be selected and, from the point of view of operability, the composition of mortar and concrete can be optimised.

The results clearly demonstrate that superplasticizers of the PolyCarboxylate(pc) are more effective than superplasticisers of SNF. Used with the same dosage, they enable mortars with a significantly decreased and low workability loss to be obtained(Shukla, Gupta, & Kishore, 2020). The feature of mortars with PC superplasticizers is high, an advantage from the point of view of segregation and can cause certain practical difficulties In specific, good results for the low W / C mortar or concrete ratios can be predicted with the use of PC superplasticizers. The efficiency of these superplasticizers is similar to SNF super-plasticizers for simple concrete with a standard or high W / C ratio and is thus of no benefit for economic reasons.

#### 3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

#### **3.1Research Design**

The purpose of this paper is to identify the minimum content of cement which is associated with an appropriate water-to-cement ratio (w/c) along with a constant superplasticizer dosage which results in optimum strength requirements at minimum cost for a concrete mixture.

#### **3.2Materials and Methods**

The materials used in this research and their properties are as follows:

#### **3.2.1 Cement**

Cement used was locally available in OPC. The physical properties of the cement are tested according IS: METHOD-10262-2009 & IS: 456-2000 are tabulated (Refer table 1).

	Properties	Value
	Cement Type and Name of Brand	Shree OPC-43
	a) Density of cement (g/cc)	3.15
Cement	b) Compressive Strength	
	3 days in N/mm <sup>2</sup>	28.5
	7 days in N/mm <sup>2</sup>	38
	28 days in $N/mm^2$	45.5

	D1 · 1		C
l'able I	Physical	properties	of cement

#### **3.2.2Fine aggregate**

Locally available sand of maximum size 4.75 mm was used. The physical properties of the sand tested

according to the codal provisions of IS: METHOD-10262-2009 & IS: 456-2000 are given (Refer table2)

	Fine Aggregate	Properties	Value
--	----------------	------------	-------

Published by: The Mattingley Publishing Co., Inc.



b) Absorption of Water % 1.52	

 Table 2. Physical properties of fine aggregate

#### **3.2.3**Coarse aggregate

Coarse aggregate of size 20 mm was used. the physical properties of the ca tested according to the codal provisions of is: method-10262-2009 & is: 456-2000 are shown (Refer table 3). In the concrete mix,

the ratio of weight of the ca of different size range (between 20 and 12.5 mm, 12.5 and 4.75 mm) is maintained.

Chemical properties of the fly ash are listed in table4.

Table 3. Physical properties of coarse aggregate

	Properties	Value
Coarse Aggregate	a) Specific Gravity	2.65
	b) Water Absorption in %	0.45

#### 3.2.4Fly ash

Locally available fly ash is being used and tested in accordance with the codal provisions of IS 1727.

Table4. Physical properties offly ash

	Properties	Value
Fly Ash	a) Density, g/cc	2.25
	Fly ash content (Kg)	80

### 3.2.5Superplasticizer

Based on the enquiries in the RMC plant in the nearby

areas of Gurgaon, Haryana, Polycarboxylate based Superplasticizer was found to be majorly adopted in construction works. (Refer table5)

Table 5 Physical properties of super plasticizer

	Properties	Value
Superplasticizer	(a) Density of Admixture Brocrete C- 800	1.18
	(b) Dose (% of Cementeous Material)	0.8

#### **3.2.6 Grading of aggregate**

Aggregate grading is a calculation of the distribution of aggregates by particle size. Aggregate grading is an important factor in the construction of concrete mixtures. They influence both the strength of the concrete and its durability(Refer table 6 and 7).

Table 6 Grading of Coarse Aggregate

Coarse Aggregate- 20mm – I	
Sieve Size (mm)	% Passing by weight



40.0	100.0
20.0	96.4
10.0	4.2
4.75	Nil
Coarse Aggregate - 10mm – II	
66 6	
Sieve Size (mm)	% Passing by weight
Sieve Size (mm) 12.5	% Passing by weight 100
Sieve Size (mm) 12.5 10,0	% Passing by weight 100 90.4
Sieve Size (mm) 12.5 10,0 4.75	% Passing by weight 100 90.4 5.2

Table 7 Grading of fine Aggregate

I.S. Sieve Size	Fine Aggregate	Remarks
	(% Passing)	Conforming to grading of Table 4 of
		IS: 383-1970, Zone-II
10 mm	100.0	100.0
4.75 mm	95.9	90-100
2.36 mm	80.6	75-100
1.18 mm	70.4	55-90
600 micron	43.7	35-59
300 micron	15.3	8-30
150 micron	4.7	0-10

### **3.3Mix Proportion**

# **3.3.1** For M25 concrete with admixture (PCEsuperplasticizer)

Mix proportion implies the quantity of materials taken to prepare concrete of required strength relatively. (Refer table 8)

Table	8.	Mix	Design	Ratio
1 0010	0.	1111000	Design	10000

Materials	Quantity in Kg/m <sup>3</sup>
Cement (Shree OPC 43)	300
Water (0.46)	174.8
Fly ash	80
Admixture (Brocrete	3.04
C800)	736
Fine Aggregate	648
20mm aggregate	432

10mm aggregate		

Quantity of Coarse Aggregate {1000-= (300/3.15+80/2.25+4.18/1.18+174.8)}\*2.65\*0.59  $= 1080.00 \text{ kg/m}^3$ Quantity of Fine Aggregate = {1000-(300/3.15+80/2.25+4.18/1.18+174.8)}\*2.60\*0.41  $= 736 \text{ kg/m}^3$ Quantity of CA 20mm @ 60% = 648 kg/m<sup>3</sup> Quantity of CA 10mm @ 40% = 432 kg/m<sup>3</sup>



#### **3.4Specimen Preparation**

Mixtures were prepared in accordance with the IS Standards. Three concrete cubes of 150\*150\*150 were prepared each for Nominal M25 concrete mix design and after addition of superplasticizers in accordance with IS Standard. The samples were demoulded after 24 hours and cured.

Concrete curing is a process by which the concrete is shielded from moisture loss needed for hydration and kept within the prescribed temperature range(Almutairi, 2017). Curing can increase the strength of hardened concrete and decrease its permeability. Curing also helps to reduce thermal and plastic cracks which can have a severe impact on structural durability.

After the test conducted on these specimens 18 more cubes were casted after reducing the cement content on the Mix design. The cement content was reduced from 7.5%, 10%, 12.5% and 15% respectively in order to determine the maximum cement content reduced to obtain optimum compressive strength of Conventional M25 concrete(Brooks et al., 2003).

#### **3.5Compressive StrengthTesting**

It is observed that a compression test is more difficult to perform than a tensile test as

- In order to withstand any buckling due to bending, the specimen must have a greater cross-sectional area,
- the specimen undergoing strain hardening as deformation continues
- With deformation, the cross-section of the specimen increases, resulting in a significant increase in the load required(Shukla, Gupta, & Gupta, 2020).

#### 4. DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

The data analysis was done based on the compressive strength test conducted on the Six primary specimens of concrete. The test was conducted to determine variations in strength of the concrete when Polycarboxylic based Superplasticizers are added in to the concrete mix. This resulted in significant increase in the compressive strength of the concrete when Admixture (SP) was added in it. The Cement content was reduced in proportion of 7.5%, 10%, 12.5% and 15% respectively.

## **4.1Identification Of Variation In Compressive Strength Of Concrete**

## 4.1.1 Results of compressive strength test on conventional M25 concrete

The results indicate that after 28 days of curing the concrete the average compressive strength obtained from the cubes is achieved to be 28.4 N/mm<sup>2</sup>(Refer table 9)

Table	9.	Conventional	M25	concrete	(Without
superp	lasti	cizers)			

Sr. No.	Load KN	in	Compressive strength of concrete after 28 days ( N/mm <sup>2</sup> )
1	637		28.3
2	628		27.9
3	651		28.9
Average			28.4 N/mm <sup>2</sup>

## 4.1.2 Result of compressive strength test on PCEsuperplasticizer based M25 concrete

The results indicate that after 28 days of curing the concrete the average compressive strength obtained from the cubes is achieved to be 34.8 N/mm<sup>2</sup> (Refer table 10). The result of these two specimens shows significant difference in the compressive strength of the concrete(Erdogdu, 2000).

Table10.M25ConcretewithPCEbasedSuperplasticizer



Sr. No.	Load KN	in	Compressive strength of concrete after 28 days( N/mm <sup>2</sup> )
1	801		35.6
2	767		34.0
3	781		34.7
Average			34.8 N/mm <sup>2</sup>

#### **4.2Reduction of Cement Content**

Result of compressive strength test after reduction of 7.5%, 10%, 12.5% and 15% cement content: (Refer table 11)

Table 11. Compressive strength of PCE based concreteafter reduction of 7.5%, 10%, 12.5% and 15% Cementcontent

	Reduction of 7.5%		Red	uction of 10%	Redu	ction of 12.5%	Reduction of 15%	
	Cement Content		Cement Content		<b>Cement Content</b>		<b>Cement Content</b>	
Sr		Compressive		Compressive		Compressive		Compressive
SI. No	Loa	strength of	Loa	strength of	Loa	strength of	Loa	strength of
110.	d in	concrete after	d in	concrete	d in	concrete	d in	concrete
	KN	28 days (	KN	after 28 days	KN	after 28 days	KN	after 28 days
		N/mm <sup>2</sup> )		( <b>N/mm<sup>2</sup></b> )		( N/mm <sup>2</sup> )		( N/mm <sup>2</sup> )
1	762	33.8	74		727	32.3		
2	758	33.6	4	22.1	713	31.7	661	20.4
3	783	34.8	74	22.2	742	32.9	640	29.4
			6	55.2			040	28.4
			73	32.6			672	29.9
			2					
Avera		34.0 N/mm <sup>2</sup>		32.96 N/mm <sup>2</sup>		$32.3 \text{ N/mm}^2$		29.2N/mm <sup>2</sup>
ge		J7.U 11/11111		54,70 14/11111		54,5 11/11111		<i>47.4</i> 1\/11111

#### 4.3Statistical Analysis of Data

In order to check the significance of the data obtained from the test conducted, ANOVA is to be applied on all the results cumulatively.

An ANOVA test is a means of finding out whether the results of a survey or experiment are significant In other words, they help you determine if the null hypothesis is to be rejected or the alternative hypothesis accepted(Bharatkumar et al., 2001). In fact, you are evaluating groups to see if there is any disparity between them.

#### 4.3.1 Result of Anova

The null hypothesis would be:"There is no effect on mean strength of concrete after reduction in cement content." This null hypothesis implicates that the strength would not vary even if the cement content is reduced in the concrete mix.

Now if the resulting P-value after the test turns out to be lesser than 0.05, then the above null hypothesis will be rejected indicating that the compressive strength of the concrete will vary with reduction in the cement content(Yazici& Arel, 2012).

The following data of the test concludes that:(Refer table 12). As P-value is < 0.05 therefore the null hypothesis is rejected. Thus the result shows that there is significant amount of difference between the data set. This means that the cement content reduction corresponds to the change in the mean strength of the concrete.



SUMMA	KY					
Groups	5	Count	Sum	Average	Variance	
PCE BASED	7.5%	3	102.2	34.0667	0.41333333	
PCE BASED	0 10%	3	98.9	32.9667	0.10333333	
PCE BASED	12.5%	3	96.9	32.3	0.35999999	
PCE BASED	0 15%	3	87.7	29.2333	0.58333333	
ANOVA						
Source of					Р-	
Variation	SS	Df	MS	$\mathbf{F}$	value	
Between						
Groups	38.6103	3	12.8701	35.2588	0.0001	
Within Groups	2.9201	8	0.365			
Total	41.5304	11				

Table 12. Anova: Single Factor

#### **4.4 Observations**

The following result shows that the minimal reduction in cement content in concrete does not majorly affect its compressive strength. The further data implicates that after reduction of about 10-12.5% of cement content, the optimum compressive strength of the concrete is achieved(Chang, 2004). This indicates that after the application of PCE based superplasticizers into the concrete, the cement content can be reduced upto 12.5% without compromising the strength or the concrete's workability.

#### **4.5Cost Comparison**

Based on the results, it is established that after the reduction of 12.5% of cement content in PCE based superplasticizer induced concrete, the concrete can achieve an optimum compressive strength of a Conventional M25 concrete without compromising with its durability and workability(Flower & Sanjayan, 2007).

In order to analyse the cost of preparing both the specimens, a rate analysis is to be done. The current market rates of all the constituents are to be accumulated to ascertain the difference in the cost of both Conventional concrete and PCE based concrete(Kapelko, 2006).

#### 4.5.1 Rate analysis for conventional M25 concrete (Refer table 13)

Table 1.	Table 15. Kale analysis of conventional M25 concrete							
Conventional M25 Concrete								
Material	Unit	Quantity	Unit	Rate	Amount			
Cement (OPC-43)	Kg	300	Kg	7	2100.00			
Fine aggregate	Kg	80	Kg	0.5	40.00			
Coarse aggregate	Kg	736	Cum	1235	568.10			
10mm	Kg	432	Cum	1050	318.54			
Coarse aggregate	Kg	648	Cum	1050	477.81			
20mm	Ltr	174.8	Ltr	1	175.00			

Table 12 Date an abais of a second in al M25 and and

Published by: The Mattingley Publishing Co., Inc.



Water		
	Total	3679.45

The total cost for 1 cubic metre of concrete prepared by conventional method (without addition of any admixture any chemical compound) is summed up to be Rs. 3679.45.

4.5.2 Rate analysis for pce based M25 concrete (Refer table 14)

Table14	Rate analysis	of PCE based	M25 concrete	e with 12.5%	6 reduced	cement content
---------	---------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	-----------	----------------

PCE based M25 concrete with 12.5% reduced cement content								
Material	Unit	Quantity	Unit	Rate	Amount			
Cement (OPC-43)								
Fly ash								
Admixture (PCE	Kg	262.5	Kg	7	1837.50			
based	Kg	80	Kg	0.5	40.00			
superplasticizer)	Ltr	2.6715	Ltr	80	213.72			
Fine aggregate	Kg	736	Cum	1235	568.10			
Coarse aggregate	Kg	432	Cum	1050	318.54			
10mm	Kg	648	Cum	1050	477.81			
Coarse aggregate	Ltr	155.5	Ltr	1	154.50			
20mm								
Water								
	3608.17							

The total cost for 1 cubic metre of concrete prepared with addition of PCE Based Superplasticizer (Dosage: 0.78% of Cementitious material i.e. Cement + Fly ash) method is summed up to be Rs. 3608.17.

This Analysis of rate clearly indicate that the cost of construction of 1m3 of concrete is decreased by Rs. 71.28(Dhir et al., 2004). This does not only save construction cost, but also reduces cement content which is responsible for causing harm to the environment.

#### **5. CONCLUSION**

The following conclusions can be obtained from the research:

- It was observed that the compressive strength of the concrete increased with the application of PCE based superplasticizer into the mix.
- PCE based superplasticizer is very useful for • providing strength to the pourable concrete while improving its durability and workability.
- With reduction of cement content in the concrete, the compressive strength of the pourable concrete decreases without compromising the durability.
- The cement content in SP influenced concrete mix can be reduced by up to 12.5 percent to achieve maximum compressive strength of conventional concrete.



- Due to reduction in the cement content, the Emission of carbon dioxide shall substantially get reduced, thereby reducing the greenhouse effect.
- Due to the reduction in cement content, the water content reduces which helps in reduction of overall cost of construction.
- The cost of construction reduces up to Rs.70 for a 1m<sup>3</sup> concrete which can help in reduction of cost of the project.

### REFERENCES

- Kumar A, Sharma K, Dixit AR (2019), 'A review of the mechanical and thermal properties of graphene and its hybrid polymer nanocomposites for structural applications' Journal of materials science, volume 54 (8)page no. 5992-6026.
- 2. Kumar A., Sharma K, Dixit AR (2020), Carbon nanotube-and graphene-reinforced multiphase polymeric composites: review on their properties and applications, Journal of Materials Science, Volume 55 (7), 2682–2724.
- 3. Kumar A, Sharma K, Dixit AR (2020), 'A review on the mechanical and thermal properties of graphene and graphene-based polymer nanocomposites: understanding of modelling and MD simulation, Molecular Simulation, volume 46 (2) page no. 136-154.
- Yadav A, Kumar A, Sharma K, Shukla MK (2018) Investigating the effects of amine functionalized graphene on the mechanical properties of epoxy nanocomposites, Materials Today: Proceeding 11 (Part 2), 841-846.
- 5. Almutairi, W. (2017). Optimization of Concrete Mixtures for Use in Structural Elements.
- Atahan, H. N., Oktar, O. N., &Taşdemir, M. A. (2009). Effects of water-cement ratio and curing time on the critical pore width of hardened cement paste. Construction and

Building Materials, 23(3), 1196–1200. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2008.08. 011

- Singh RP, Sharma K, Tiwari AK (2020) 'An Experimental Investigation of Thermal Conductivity of TiO2 Nanofluid: Proposing a New Correlation, Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research, Volume 79 (02), page no. 160-163.
- Barbhuiya, S. A., Gbagbo, J. K., Russell, M. I., &Basheer, P. A. M. (2009). Properties of fly ash concrete modified with hydrated lime and silica fume. Construction and Building Materials, 23(10), 3233–3239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2009.06. 001
- BARKER, E. V. (1963). Construction materials. Laboratory Animal Care, 2(June), 265–273.
- 10. Bharatkumar, B. H., Narayanan, R., Raghuprasad, B. K., &Ramachandramurthy, D. S. (2001). Mix proportioning of high performance concrete. Cement and Concrete Composites, 23(1), 71–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-9465(00)00071-8
- 11. Brooks, J. J., Johari, M. A. M., &Mazloom, M. (2003). Cement & Concrete Composites. Cement and Concrete Composites, 25(1), 161–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0958-9465(02)00063-x
- 12. Chang, P. K. (2004). An approach to optimizing mix design for properties of high-performance concrete. Cement and Concrete Research, 34(4), 623–629. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconres.2003.10.0 10
- 13. Dhir, R. K., McCarthy, M. J., Zhou, S., & Tittle, P. A. J. (2004). Role of cement content in specifications for concrete durability: cement type influences. Structures <html\_ent



Glyph="@amp;" Ascii="&"/> Buildings, 157(2), 113–127. https://doi.org/10.1680/stbu.157.2.113.36479

- 14. Erdogdu, S. (2000). Compatibility of superplasticizers with cements different in composition. Cement and Concrete Research, 30(5), 767–773. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0008-8846(00)00229-5
- Flower, D. J. M., &Sanjayan, J. G. (2007). Green house gas emissions due to concrete manufacture. The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, 12(5), 282–288. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-007-0327-3
- 16. Kapelko, A. (2006). Possibilities of cement content reduction in concretes with admixture of superplasticiser SNF. Journal of Civil Engineering and Management, 12(2), 117–126. https://doi.org/10.1080/13923730.2006.9636383
- 17. Robert, C. R., Sathyan, D., &Anand, K. B. (2018). Effect of superplasticizers on the rheological properties of fly ash incorporated cement paste. Materials Today: Proceedings, 5(11), 23955–23963. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2018.10.188
- 18. Shukla, A., Gupta, N., & Gupta, A. (2020). Materials Today: Proceedings Development of green concrete using waste marble dust q. Materials Today: Proceedings, xxxx. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.02.548
- 19. Shukla, A., Gupta, N., & Kishore, K. (2020). Materials Today: Proceedings Experimental investigation on the effect of steel fiber embedded in marble dust based concrete. Materials Today: Proceedings, xxxx. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2020.02.607
- 20. U S Environmental Protection Agency. (2015). Inventory of U .S . Greenhouse Gas Emissions

and Sinks : 564. https://doi.org/EPA 430-R-13-001

 Yazici, Ş., &Arel, H. Ş. (2012). Effects of fly ash fineness on the mechanical properties of concrete. Sadhana - Academy Proceedings in Engineering Sciences, 37(3), 389–403. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12046-012-0083-3.