

Anti – Fuzzy Ideals (AFI) in Boolean Near Rings (BNR)

¹K.Pushpalatha, ²D. Ramesh, ³S.Venu Madhava Sarma, ⁴K.Prasad
¹Assoc. Prof., Depart. of Mathematics, KLEF, vaddeswaram, Guntur AP, India.
²Asst. Prof., Depart. of Mathematics, KLEF, vaddeswaram, Guntur, AP, India.
³Assoc. Prof., Depart. of Mathematics, KLEF, vaddeswaram, Guntur, AP, India
⁴Prof., Depart. of Mathematics, KLEF, vaddeswaram, Guntur AP, India

Article Info

Volume 83

Page Number: 8413 - 8416

Publication Issue:

May - June 2020

Abstract:

We establish the view of anti-fuzzy ideals (AFI) in Boolean Near Rings (BNR) N and also obtain their properties in this paper. We prove every fuzzy set is an AFI of N (BNR) iff complement of fuzzy set is FI of N and also prove that every homomorphic pre-image of an AFI is an AFI.

Article History

Article Received: 19 November 2019

Revised: 27 January 2020

Accepted: 24 February 2020

Publication: 18 May 2020

Keywords: Near ring, Boolean Near ring, Fuzzy set, fuzzy ideal, Anti fuzzy ideal, Anti fuzzy left ideal

I. INTRODUCTION

This concept BNR was earlier studied by S.Ligh & etl [7] and many other researchers. Zadeh [8] proposed the fuzzy subset of a nonempty set. Ziu proposed Fuzzy ideals (FI's) of rings, and was considered by many authors. The theory of FI and its properties are useful to many areas like Semi rings, Semi groups (Etc.), the idea of anti fuzzy subgroups was recognized by R. Bidwas and etl, [10] considered the concept of AFI's in near rings. We establish the concept of AFI in BNR's and study related properties of AFI's in this paper.

We now define the preliminaries of AFI in BNR.

PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1: A right near-ring (RNR) $N \neq \emptyset$ equipped with two dyadic operations '+' and '.' such that

- (i) $(N, +)$ is a group
- (ii) $(l.m).n = l.(m.n)$ for all $l, m, n \in N$
- (iii) $(m+l).n = m.n + l.n$ for all $l, m, n \in N$ (right distributive law)

Using the left distributive law

- (iii) $l.(m+n) = l.m + l.n$ in the place of (iii)

would yield left near-rings.

Remark: N is not necessarily abelian.

Definition 2.2: A NR ' N ' is said to be a BNR if $n^2 = n$ for each $n \in N$.

Definition 2.3: Let I be a Normal subgroup of a NR $(N, +, .)$ I is said to be a right ideal if $i.n \in I$ for all $i \in I, n \in N$. I is said to be a left ideal if $n.(m+a) - n.m \in I$ for each $a \in I, n, m \in N$. I is said to be an ideal if I is a left and a right ideal.

Note that the clause for left ideal I can also be written as $n.(a+m) - n.m \in I$ for all $n, m \in N, i \in I$.

Example 2.1 : (i) Every BR is a BNR.
(ii) Each constant NR $N: xy = x$ for all $x, y \in N$ is a BNR.

(iii) Let $N = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ be the additive group of integers modulo 6. N is a BNR with the multiplication given in the following table

TABLE 1

.	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	3	1	1	3	1	1
2	0	2	2	0	2	2
3	3	3	3	3	3	3
4	0	4	4	0	4	4
5	3	5	5	3	5	5

Now clearly, N is a Non-zero-symmetric BNR and $\{0, 2, 4\}, \{0, 3\}$ are ideals.

Definition 2.2: If Ψ is a fuzzy set on N , then Ψ is called a FI of N if Ψ satisfies the following conditions :

- i. $\Psi(p-q) \geq \min \{\Psi(p), \Psi(q)\}, \forall p, q \in N$
- ii. $\Psi(ua) \geq \Psi(a), \forall u, a \in N$.
- iii. $\Psi((u+a) + uv) \geq \Psi(a), \forall u, a, v \in N$.

II. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 3.1: A fuzzy set Ψ in a BNR N is called an anti fuzzy left ideal (AFLI) of M , if

- i. $\Psi(p-q) \leq \max \{\Psi(p), \Psi(q)\}$, for every $p, q \in N$
 - ii. $\Psi(ua) \leq \Psi(a)$, for every $u, a \in N$.
 - iii. $\Psi((u+a) + uv) \leq \Psi(a)$, for each $u, a, v \in N$.
- N is a zero-symmetric BNR.

Example 3.1:

TABLE 2
Multiplication table

.	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	1
2	0	0	2	2
3	0	1	2	3

TABLE 3
Addition table

+	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	2	1	0

Clearly it is a BNR. Let Ψ be an AFLI defined on N by $\Psi(x) = 0.65$ for all $x \in M$.

Then Ψ is an AFLI of M .

Theorem 3.1: Consider N is a BNR & Ψ is an AFLI (resp. right) of N . At that moment the set $N_\Psi = \{x \in N / \Psi(p) = \Psi(0)\}$ is a left (resp. right) ideal of N .

Proof: Suppose A be an AFLI.

- i) Suppose $p, q \in N_\Psi$ implies $\Psi(p) = \Psi(0)$ & $\Psi(q) = \Psi(0)$ follows that $\Psi(p-q) \leq \max \{\Psi(p), \Psi(q)\}$

$\Psi(q)\}$ which n_Ψ lies that $\Psi(p-q) \leq \max \{\Psi(0), \Psi(0)\} = \Psi(0)$. Hence $p-q \in N_\Psi$

- ii) Now $\forall u, v \in N, a \in N_\Psi$

$$\Psi((u+a)v + uv) \leq \Psi(a) = \Psi(0) \Rightarrow \Psi((u+a)v + uv) = \Psi(0) \text{ [resp. } \Psi(ua) \leq \Psi(a) = \Psi(0) \Rightarrow \Psi(ua) = \Psi(0)]$$

- ie. $(u+a)v + uv \in N_\Psi$ [resp. $ua \in N_\Psi$]

Theorem 3.2: Suppose $\{\Psi_i / i \in I\}$ is a family of AFLI's of a BNR ' N ' then so is $\bigvee_{i \in I} \Psi_i$

Proof: Consider $\{A_i / i \in I\}$ a relative of AFLI's of N & let $p, q \in N$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } (\bigvee_{i \in I} \Psi_i)(p-q) &= \text{Sup } \{A_i(p-q) / i \in I\} \\ &\leq \text{Sup } \{\max \{\Psi_i(p), \Psi_i(q)\} / i \in I\} \\ &= \max \{\text{Sup } \{\Psi_i(p) / i \in I\}, \text{Sup } \{\Psi_i(q) / i \in I\}\} \\ &= \max \{(\bigvee_{i \in I} \Psi_i)(p), (\bigvee_{i \in I} \Psi_i)(q)\} \end{aligned}$$

Consider $u, a \in N$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } (\bigvee_{i \in I} \Psi_i)(ua) &= \text{Sup } \{\Psi_i(ua) / i \in I\} \\ &\leq \text{Sup } \{\Psi_i(a) / i \in I\} = (\bigvee_{i \in I} \Psi_i)(a). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $u, a, v \in N$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } (\bigvee_{i \in I} \Psi_i)((u+a)v + ua) &= \text{Sup } \{\Psi_i((u+a)v + ua) / i \in I\} \\ &\leq \sup \{\Psi_i(a) / i \in I\} \\ &= (\bigvee_{i \in I} \Psi_i)(a). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.3: Suppose $\bigcap_{i \in I} \Psi_i$ of AFLI (resp. right)'s of a BNR ' N ' is an AFLI (resp. right) of N .

Proof: Suppose N is a BNR and suppose $\{\Psi_i / i \in I\}$ be the family of AFLI (resp. right) of N and agree to $a_1, a_2 \in N$. followed by, we have

- i) $(\bigcap_{i \in I} \Psi_i)(a_1 - a_2) = \inf_{i \in I} \{\Psi_i(a_1 - a_2)\}$
 $\leq \inf_{i \in I} \{\max \{\Psi_i(a_1), \Psi_i(a_2)\}\}$
 $= \max \{\inf_{i \in I} \Psi_i(a_1), \inf_{i \in I} \Psi_i(a_2)\}$
 $= \max \{(\bigcap_{i \in I} \Psi_i)(a_1), (\bigcap_{i \in I} \Psi_i)(a_2)\}$
- ii) Let $u, a \in N$. followed by, $(\bigcap_{i \in I} \Psi_i)(ua)$
 $= \{\inf_{i \in I} \Psi_i(ua)\} \leq \inf_{i \in I} \{\Psi_i(a)\}$
 $= (\bigcap_{i \in I} \Psi_i)(a)$

Let $u, a, v \in N$. Then, $(\bigcap_{i \in I} \Psi_i)((u+a)v + uv) = \{\inf_{i \in I} \Psi_i((u+a)v + uv)\} \leq \inf_{i \in I} \{\Psi_i(a)\} = (\bigcap_{i \in I} \Psi_i)(a)$

Theorem 3.4: Suppose N is a BNR. Follows that a fuzzy set Ψ is an AFLI of N iff Ψ^c is a FI of N .

Proof: Consider $l, m \in N$ and Ψ is an AFLI of N then we have,

- i) $\Psi^c(1 - m) = 1 - \Psi(1 - m) \geq 1 - \max\{\Psi(1), \Psi(m)\} = \min\{1 - \Psi(1), 1 - \Psi(m)\} = \min\{\Psi^c(1), \Psi^c(m)\}$
- ii) Suppose $u, a \in N$. Then, $\Psi^c(ua) = 1 - \Psi(ua) \geq 1 - \Psi(a) = \Psi^c(a)$
- iii) Let $u, a, v \in N$. Then, $\Psi^c((u+a)v+uv) = 1 - \Psi((u+a)v+uv) \geq 1 - \Psi(a) = \Psi^c(a)$

Hence Ψ^c is a FI of N . Similarly the converse follows.

Theorem 3.5: A BNR homomorphic pre-image of an AFI is an AFI.

Proof: Suppose N & S are BNR's. Consider, $f: N \rightarrow S$ is a BNR homomorphism θ is an AFI of S and Ψ be the pre image of θ under f . consider $p, q, a, u, v \in N$. follows that,

- i) $\Psi(p - q) = \theta(f(p - q)) = \theta(f(p) - f(q)) \leq \max\{\theta(f(p)), \theta(f(q))\} = \max\{\Psi(p), \Psi(q)\}$
- ii) $\Psi(ua) = \theta(f(ua)) = \theta(f(ua)) = \theta(f(u)f(a)) \leq \theta(f(ua)) = \Psi(a)$.
- iii) $\Psi((u+a)v+uv) = \theta(f((u+a)v+uv)) = \theta(f((u+a)v+uv))$

Hence Ψ is an AFI of N .

Theorem 3.6: Suppose Ψ is an AFLI (resp. right) of a BNR ' N ' & Ψ^+ is a fuzzy set in N given by $\Psi^+(p) = \Psi(p) + 1 - \Psi(1)$ for all $p \in N$. follows that Ψ^+ is an AFLI (resp. right) of N .

Proof: Suppose Ψ is an AFLI of a BNR ' N ', for all $p, q, u, a, v \in N$. Then,

- i) $\Psi^+(p - q) = \Psi(p - q) + 1 - \Psi(1) \leq \max\{\Psi(p), \Psi(q)\} + 1 - \Psi(1) = \max\{\Psi(p) + 1 - \Psi(1), \Psi(q) + 1 - \Psi(1)\} = \max\{\Psi^+(p), \Psi^+(q)\}$
- ii) $\Psi^+(ua) = \Psi(ua) + 1 - \Psi(1) \leq \Psi(a) + 1 - \Psi(1) = \Psi^+(a)$
- iii) $\Psi^+((u+a)v+uv) = \Psi((u+a)v+uv) + 1 - \Psi(1) \leq \Psi(a) + 1 - \Psi(1) = \Psi^+(a)$

Hence Ψ^+ is an AFLI of a BNR N .

Theorem 3.7: Suppose N is a BNR. a fuzzy set Ψ is normal- AFLI (resp. right) of BNR $N \Leftrightarrow \Psi^+ = \Psi$

Proof: Adequate is directly follows.

T.P: The Necessary Part Suppose Ψ is normal- AFLI (res. right) of N .

Then $\Psi^+(x) = \Psi(x) + 1 - \Psi(1) = \Psi(x) + 1 - 1 = \Psi(x)$ for all $x \in N$. Hence, $\Psi^+ = \Psi$.

Theorem 3.8: suppose Ψ is an AFLI (res. right) of a BNR N follows that $(\Psi^+)^+ = \Psi^+$.

Proof: For any $x \in R$, we have $(\Psi^+)^+(x) = \Psi^+(x) + 1 - \Psi(1) = \Psi(x) + 1 - \Psi(1) = \Psi^+(x)$

Hence $(\Psi^+)^+ = \Psi^+$.

Theorem 3.9 : Suppose A be an AFLI (resp. right) of a BNR N & $\phi: [0, \Psi(0)] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be an rising function. Consider Ψ_ϕ a fuzzy set in N defined by $\Psi_\phi(t) = \phi(\Psi(t))$, for all $t \in N$. follows that Ψ_ϕ is an AFLI (resp. right) of N .

III. CONCLUSION

The main theme of this paper is the study of Anti fuzzy ideals in BNR.

VI. REFERENCES

1. K.Pushpalatha , On Special Boolean Near Rings and Boolean Like Near Rings, Ph.D Thesis,Acharya Nagarajuna University,Guntur, A.P., India (2015).
2. Pushpalatha, K A Note on left ideals in zero-symmetric Boolean near-Rings, IJMA-5(2), 20-23 (2014).
3. Pushpalatha, K International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics (IJPAM) On Subdirectly irreducible Boolean near rings' Volume 113 No. 13 2017, 272 – 281 ISSN: 1311-8080 (printed version);
4. M. Aruna, R.Rajeswari, Anti- Fuzzy ideals in Boolean like Semi-rings, International Journal of Science ,Engineering and Management (IJSEM) Vol 2, Issue 12, December 2017
5. K.Pushpalatha, International Journal of Engineering & Technology (IJET-UAE) Some Contribution to Boolean like near rings, vol 7(3.34)(2018) 670-673.
6. K. Pushpalatha, V.M.L.Himabindu . A Note on Boolean like Algebras. International Journal of Engineering & Technology, 7(4.10)(2018) 1015-1017.
7. Ligh, S., 'On boolean near-rings', *Bull Austral. Math. Soc.* 1 (1969), 375–379.
8. Information And Control 8, 338-353 (1965). *Fuzzy Sets**. L. A. Zadeh
9. Forster A.L: The theory of Boolean like rings, Trans Amer.ath.Soc.Vol.59, 1946.

10. R. Biswas, Fuzzy subgroups and anti fuzzy sub groups, Fuzzy subsets and systems 44(1990) 121 – 124.
11. T.Srinivas, T.Nagaiah and P.Narasimha Swamy, Anti Fuzzy Ideals of Gamma Near-rings, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, Volume 3, No. 2, pp 255-266 (2012).
12. T.Srinivas, P.Narasimha Swamy and T. Nagaiah, Anti fuzzy near-algebras over anti fuzzy fields, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, Volume 4, No. 2, pp. 243- 252, (2012). (The Previous UGC Journal number was: 49120) ISSN: 2093-9310 e- ISSN 228 76235, Kyung moon Sa publishers.
13. T. Nagaiah and L. Bhasker, Fuzzy ideals of partially ordered near-rings, International journal of scientific and innovative and mathematical research(IJSIMR), Vol. 8(5), (2017) pp. 8-14, ISSN: 2347-3142. (The Previous UGC Journal number was: 62822) <http://dx.doi.org/10.20431/2347-3142.0508002>.
14. T. Nagaiah, K.Vijay kumar, A. Iampan and T.Srinivas, A study of fuzzy ideals of Po-Gamma semigroups, Palestine Journal of Mathematics (PJM), Vol.6(2)591-597(2017).