

# High Speed CMOS Comparator Design for Dynamic Power Management in IoT Applications

#### <sup>[1]</sup>Y.Avanija, <sup>[2]</sup>Dr. K.Suresh Reddy

 [1]Research scholar, JNTUA, Anantapuramu, Assistant Professor, Department of ECE, G. Pulla Reddy Engineering College(Autonomous), Kurnool, India
[2] Professor Dean , Department of ECE, G. Pulla Reddy Engineering College(Autonomous), Kurnool, India.

Article Info	Abstract:
Volume 83	By 2020, seven connected devices are projected to correspond to each human
Volume 83 Page Number: 6607 - 6614 Publication Issue: May- June 2020	By 2020, seven connected devices are projected to correspond to each human being[12].Such connected devices will follow the Internet of Things (IoT) and get into every aspect of human life. In order to power these devices,new strategies should recreated as these devices will not only have a dynamic load due to multiple features, but also dynamic sources if the rechargeable battery is supplemented by resourceful energy harvesting. The need for fine-grained power management in electronic ICs has resulted in the design and implementation of compact low drop regulators(LDOs) that are deeply embedded inside logic blocks[13]. Power Supply network(PSN) requires low drop out (LDO) regulators with high speed and low power comparators. A revised comparator architecture is modeled in 180 nm CMOS technology in order to achieve high speed and low power.A 2.5 volt comparator has been made. The engineering parameters of Cadence Virtuoso
4 /• 1 <del>11</del> • /	software 0.18 $\mu$ m are used for development. Designed comparator demonstrates
Article History	reduced power consumption and delay compared to existing comparators. For
Article Received: 19 November 2019 Revised: 27 January 2020 Accepted: 24 February 2020 Publication: 18 May 2020	applications needing less energy dissipation, good accuracy and high resolution, comparators are used.
	<b>Keywords</b> : Low power Comparator, low dropout regulators, Cadence Virtuoso tools, IOT

## Introduction:

Comparator is a circuit which compares two input voltages in which one is analog input and other is reference voltage and outputs binary 0 or 1 depending on comparison. It is basically a 1 bit analog to digital converter. In ADCs sample and hold circuit samples the analog input signal and the sampled signal is given to comparator, depending on reference voltage it produces digital output which is equivalent to analog input signal [1]. Comparators are used in all ADCs requiring less power dissipation, high speed, low noise, less offset voltage, good slew rate etc. Different types of comparators are available namely open loop

regenerative comparator, comparator and combination of both open loop and regenerative comparator (cascaded comparators) [2]. Open loop compactors are basically single and two stage differential amplifiers without compensation and feedback loop. Regenerative comparators use positive feedback to improve the performance [3]. Comparator circuits can also be built by separating the comparators into number of cascaded stages. This helps in reducing the total propagation delay time and hence can be used in high speed applications like radar receivers and LAN interfaces.





Fig -1. Symbol of comparator

Above figure shows the symbol of comparator. It is basically operational amplifier because every comparator has one or many of the same characteristics as a high gain amplifier. The

Voltage $V_p$  applied to the positive terminal of the comparator gives output 1 if voltage  $V_n$  applied to negative terminal is

less or equal to  $V_p$  or else the output of the comparator gives 0 [4].

Power management is essential in all batterypowered portable devices such as cellular phones and PDAs in order to reduce the standby power and prolong the battery runtime. Low-dropout regulators (LDOs) are one of the most critical power management modules, as they can provide regulated low-noise and precision supply voltages for noisesensitive analog blocks. With the widespread proliferation of modern portable devices, ever more stringent performance requirements of the LDO are needed. First, low dropout voltage across the pass device of the LDO is required provide high power efficiency. In addition, the increased level of integration in portable devices not only demands the LDO to deliver high load current, but also requires the no-load quiescent current of the LDO to be minimized for improving the current efficiency [5]. Good load transient response with small outputvoltage variation including overshoots and undershoots upon load switching is critical to prevent an accidental turn off or resetting of the portable device. These four major performance requirements of the LDO, including low dropout

voltage, high output current, low no-load quiescent current, and small output transient undershoots and overshoots are, however, difficult to achieve simultaneously.

#### I. CHARACTERIZATION OF A COMPARATOR

# A. Static characteristics Gain

The ideal aspect of this model is the way in which the output makes a transition between VOL and VOH. The output changes states for an input change of  $\Delta V$ , where  $\Delta V$  approaches zero [6]. The gain is given as



Fig -2. Ideal transfer curve

# Offset Voltage

A mismatch in the threshold voltages and the Trans conductance parameters of the transistor generates offset voltage in comparator. If the output did not change until the input difference reached a value of VOS then the difference would be defined as the offset voltage [7].





Fig -3. Transfer curve of a comparator including offset voltage and noise

# B. Dynamic characteristics

# Propagation Delay

Propagation delay is defined as at how much speed the amplifier responds with applied input [8].

Propagation delay time = (rising propagation delay time + falling propagation delay time)/2 (2)



Fig -4. Propagation delay curve

III. TWO STAGE OPEN LOOP COMPARATOR

Comparator requires differential input and high gain to achieve desirable resolution. As a result two stage operational amplifiers can be used as a comparator. Comparator requires large bandwidth as possible so that faster response can be achieved. This is done by making two stage operational amplifiers as an open loop mode, thus no compensation is required [9].The advantage of this comparator is to provide high gain, large output voltage swing andfor high speed, disadvantage is that it consumes morepower. Hence, it is not suitable and low power applications.

High speed comparators should have a propagation delay time as small as possible. To achieve this goal, one must understand the requirement for fast comparator. This is best understood by separating the comparator into a number of cascading stages. So, this three cascading stages consists of input pre amplification stage, latch stage and output post amplification stage [10].

Pre amplification stage consists of current mirror single stage differential amplifier with high gain and low slew rate. This stage improves sensitivity of the comparator by isolating input from kickback noise and amplifying the smallest minimum input voltage



Fig -5.Two stage open loop comparator used to find initial states



Small signal gain of the comparator as given by

$$Av(0) = \left(\frac{gm1}{gds2+gds4}\right) \left(\frac{gm6}{gds6+gds7}\right) \quad (3)$$

Two stage comparator consists of two pole, first and second stage output poles P1 and P2 respectively are given as

$$p1 = -\frac{(gds2 + gds4)}{CI} \qquad (4)$$

Where CI is the sum of capacitance connected to the output of first stage.

$$p2 = -\frac{(gds6+gds7)}{CII} \tag{5}$$

Where  $C_{II}$  is the sum of capacitance connected to the output of second stage.

#### II. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Consider two stage open-loop comparator[11]. The capacitances at the outputs of the first and second stages are  $C_I$  and  $C_{II}$  respectively. The approach is choose one of the input gates equal to a dc voltage and find the output voltage of the first and second stages when the other input gate is above and below the dc voltage on the first gate. Consider two cases for each of the previous possibilities. These cases are when the currents in  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are different but neither is zero and when one of the input transistors has a current of  $I_{SS}$  and the other current is zero.

Where,  $i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, I_{SS}$  are the currents through the  $M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4, M_5$  transistors respectively.

We begin by first assuming that  $v_{G2}$  is equal to a dc voltage,  $V_{G2}$ , and that  $V_{G1} > V_{G2}$  with  $i_1 < I_{SS}$  and  $i_2 > 0$ . In this case, as long as M<sub>4</sub> remains in saturation,  $i_4 = i_3 = i_1$ , which is greater than  $i_2$ . Consequently,  $v_{o1}$ increases because of the difference current flowing into C<sub>I</sub>. As  $v_{o1}$  continues to increase, M<sub>4</sub> will become active and  $i_4 < i_3$ . When the voltage across the source–drain of M<sub>4</sub> decreases to the point where  $i_4 = i_2$ , the output voltage of the first stage,  $v_{o1}$ , stabilizes. This value of voltage is

$$V_{DD}-V_{DS4}(SAT) \le V_{01} \le V_{DD},$$
  
 $V_{G1} \ge V_{G2}, I_1 \le I_{SS}$  (6)

Under the above conditions of equation(6), the value of  $v_{SG6} < |V_{TP}|$  and  $M_6$  will be off and the output voltage will be

$$V_{OUT} = V_{SS}, V_{G1} > V_{G2}, I_1 < I_{SS} \text{ and } I_2 > 0$$
 (7)  
If  $v_{G1} >> V_{G2}$ , then  $I_1 = I_{SS}$ 

and i2 = 0 and  $v_{o1}$  will be at  $V_{DD}$  and  $v_{out}$  is still at  $V_{SS}$ . Next, assume that  $v_{G2}$  is still equal to  $V_{G2}$ , but now  $v_{G1} < V_{G2}$  with  $I_{1>} 0$  and  $I_2 < I_{SS}$ . In this case,  $i_4 = i_{3=} i_1$  is less than  $i_2$  and  $v_{o1}$  decreases. When  $v_{o1} <= V_{G2} - V_{TN}$ , then  $M_2$  becomes active. As  $v_{o1}$  continues to decrease,  $v_{DS2} < V_{DS2}(sat)$ , the current through  $M_2$  decreases until  $i_1 = i_2 = I_{SS}/2$  at which point  $v_{o1}$  stabilizes. At this point,

$$V_{G2}-V_{GS2} < V_{01} < V_{G2}-V_{GS2} + V_{DS2}(sat)$$
 (8)

Under the condition of equation (8), the output voltage,  $v_{out}$ , will be near  $V_{DD}$ . If  $v_{G1} \ll V_{G2}$ , the previous results are still valid until the source voltage of M1 or M2 causes M5 to leave the saturated region. When that happens,  $I_{SS}$  decreases and  $v_{o1}$  can approach  $V_{SS}$  and  $v_{out}$  will be determined. If the gate of M1 is equal to a dc voltage of  $V_{G1}$ . Assume that  $v_{G1} = V_{G1}$  and  $v_{G2} \ge V_{G1}$  with  $i_2 \le I_{SS}$  and  $i_1 \ge 0$ . As a result,  $i_1 \le i_2$  gives  $i_4 \le i_2$  as long as M4 is saturated. Therefore,  $v_{o1}$  decreases because of the difference current flowing out of C<sub>I</sub>. As  $v_{o1}$  decreases to the point

where 
$$i_1 = i_2 = I_{SS}/2$$
.

Therefore, vol stabilizes and has a value given as



 $V_{G1} - V_{GS2}(I_{SS}/2) < v_{o1} < V_{G1} - V_{GS2}(I_{SS}/2) + V_{DS2}(sat),(9)$  $V_{G2} > V_{G1}, i_1 > 0 \text{ and } i_2 < I_{SS}$ 

Under the condition of equation (9), the output voltage,  $v_{out}$ , will be near  $V_{DD}$ . If  $v_{G2} >> V_{G1}$ , the previous results are still valid until the source voltage of  $M_1$  or  $M_2$  causes  $M_5$  to leave the saturated region. If  $I_{SS}$  decreases and  $v_{o1}$  can approach  $V_{SS}$ . Next, assume that  $v_{G1}$  is still equal to  $V_{G1}$ , but now  $v_{G2} < V_{G1}$  with  $i_1 < I_{SS}$  and  $i_2 > 0$ . As a result,  $i_1 > i_2$ , which gives  $i_4 > i_2$ , causing  $v_{o1}$  to increase. As long as  $M_4$  is saturated,  $i_4 > i_2$ . When M4 enters the active region,  $i_4$  will decrease until  $i_4 = i_2$  at which point  $v_{o1}$  stabilizes. The value of  $v_{SG6} < |V_{TP}|$  and M6 will be off and the output voltage will be

$$V_{out}=V_{SS}$$
, (10)  
 $V_{G2},  $i_1 and  $i_2>0$$$ 

If  $v_{G2} \ll V_{G1}$ , then  $i_1 = I_{SS}$  and  $i_2 = 0$  and  $v_{o1}$  will be at  $V_{DD}$  and  $v_{out}$  is still at  $V_{SS}$ .

In most applications, the two-stage, open-loop comparator is overdriven to the point where the propagation delay time is determined by the slewing performance of the comparator. The propagation delay time is found by evaluating the equation

$$i_i = C_i \frac{dvi}{dti} = C_i \frac{\Delta vi}{\Delta ti}$$
 (11)

where  $C_i$  is the capacitance to ground at the output of the ith stage. The propagation delay time of the ith stage is found by solving for  $\Delta ti$  from to get

$$t_i = \Delta t_i = c_i \frac{\Delta V i}{I i}$$
 (12)

The propagation delay time is found by summing the delays of each stage. The term  $\Delta v_i$  in the above equation (12) is generally equal to half of the output swing of the ith stage. In some cases, the value of

 $\Delta v_i$  is determined by the threshold or trip point of the next stage. The trip point of an amplifier is the value of input that causes the output to be midway between its limits. In the two-stage, open-loop comparator, and the second stage is generally a Class- A inverting amplifier similar to that shown in Fig-6.



Fig -6.second stage of the two-stage open- loop comparator.

The trip point can be calculated by assuming both transistors are in saturation and equating the currents. The only unknown is the input voltage. Solving for this input voltage gives

$$V_{in} = V_{TRP} = V_{DD} - |VTP| - \sqrt{\frac{KN(\frac{W7}{L7})}{KP(\frac{W6}{L6})}} (V_{bias} - V_{SS} - V_{TN}) (13)$$

We see that the trip point is really a range and not a specific value. However, if the slope of the inverting amplifier in the region where both transistors are saturated is steep enough, then the trip point can be considered to be a point.

Trip point of second stage is

$$V_{TRP2} = V_{DD} - V_{SG6} \qquad (14)$$

The falling propagation delay of first stage is



$$tf01 = CI\left(\frac{VSG6}{I5}\right) \tag{15}$$

The rising propagation of second stage requires the knowledge of  $C_{\rm II}$  ,  $\Delta V_{out}, I_6$ 

$$I_{6=\frac{\beta 6}{2}}(VSG6 - |VTP| \land 2)$$
 (16)

The rising propagation delay for the output can be expressed as

$$tr, out = CII \frac{VDD}{(I6-I6(sat))} \quad (17)$$

Consider the change of  $V_{G2}$ rom 2.5 TO -2.5V.

The propagation delay time for the first and second stages are calculated as

$$tr01 = CI\left(\frac{VTRP2 - VG6}{I5}\right) \quad (18)$$
$$tf, out = CII\left(\frac{VDD}{I6(SAT)}\right) \quad (19)$$

The propagation delay time of the falling output is  $(t_{r01}+t_f)$ , out. The average of rising and falling propagation delays time gives a propagation delay time for this two stage, open-loop comparator



Fig-7 : schematic of two stage comparator with bias current 35 micro amperes



Fig-8:simulation results



Fig-9:.schematic of two stage comparator with bias current 40 micro amperes







Fig -11:schematic of two stage comparator with bias current 100 micro amperes



Fig-12:simulation results

Table	1:comparision	of parameters
	1	1

Bias current(micro Amps)	Delay(nano secs)
30	61.66
35	50.12
40	43.67
50	34.62
100	16.59



Fig -13:Graph between bias current and delay

## CONCLUSION

In this paper two stage open loop high speed comparator is implemented and simulated ,simulation is carried outusing Cadence tools, with gpdk 180nm technology. The power dissipation and total propagation delay are calculated for comparator with supply voltage of 2.5v.High speed is achieved withincrease in bias current with a slight increase in dynamic power dissipation, further improvements are needed to co-optimize delay and reduce power dissipation.

#### REFERENCES

- S. Kim and K. Kwon, "A hybrid ADC combining capacitive DAC-based multi-bit/cycle SAR ADC with flash ADC," 2016 International Conference on Electronics, Information, and Communications (ICEIC), Da Nang, 2016.
- [2] U. M. Kulkarni, C. Parikh and S. Sen, "A Systematic Approach to Determining the Weights of the Capacitors in the DAC of a Non-binary Redundant SAR ADCs," 2018 31st International Conference on VLSI Design and 2018 17th International Conference on Embedded Systems (VLSID), Pune, 2018.
- [3] W. Kim et al., "A 0.6 V 12 b 10 MS/s Low-Noise Asynchronous SAR-Assisted Time-Interleaved SAR (SATISAR) ADC," in IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits, vol. 51, no. 8, pp. 1826-1839, Aug. 2016.
- [4] Donadkar, D. N., & Bhandari, S. U. (2015). Review on Comparator Design for High Speed ADCs. 2015 International Conference on Computing Communication Control and Automation.



- [5] G. A. Rincon-Mora and P. E. Allen, "A low-voltage, low quiescent current, low drop-out regulator," IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 36–44, Jan. 1998.
- [6] C. Liu, M. Huang and Y. Tu, "A 12 bit 100 MS/s SARAssisted Digital-Slope ADC," in IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits, vol. 51, no. 12, pp. 2941-2950, Dec. 2016.
- [7] S. Lee, A. P. Chandrakasan and H. Lee, "A 1 GS/s 10b 18.9 mW Time-Interleaved SAR ADC With Background Timing Skew Calibration," in IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits, vol. 49, no. 12, pp. 2846-2856, Dec. 2014.
- [8] V. P. Singh, G. K. Sharma and A. Shukla, "Power efficient SAR ADC designed in 90 nm CMOS technology," 2017 2nd International Conference on Telecommunication and Networks (TEL-NET), Noida, 2017.
- [9] S. Z. Hoseini and K. Lee, "Compact Time-Mode SAR ADC With Capacitor Flipping Bit-Cycling Operation," 2018 IEEE 61st International Midwest Symposium on Circuits and Systems (MWSCAS), Windsor, ON, Canada, 2018.
- [10] A. Bekal, M. Goswami, B. R. Singh and D. Pal, "A Low Power 8-Bit Asynchronous SAR ADC Design Using Charge Scaling DAC," 2014 Fifth International Symposium on Electronic System Design, Surathkal, 2014.
- [11] Phillip E.Allen, Douglas R.Holberg, "CMOS analog circuit design, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition, OXFORD university press.
- [12] Saad Bin Nasir, Samantak Gangopadhyay, Arijit Raychowdhury. A 130nm Fully-Digital Low-dropout Regulator with Adaptive Control and Reduced Dynamic Stability for Ultra-wide Dynamic Range. International Solid State Circuits Conference (ISSCC), San Francisco, Feb. 2015.
- [13] S.Gangopadhyay, Y. Lee, S.B.Nasir, A.Raychowdhury, Modeling and Analysis of Digital Linear Dropout Regulators with Adaptive Control for High Efficiency under Wide Dynamic Range Digital Loads, Proceedings of the Design, Automation & Test in Europe (DATE), Dresden, Mar. 2014.