

# On Bipolar Fuzzy Sub Ordered $\Gamma$ -Near Rings

<sup>1</sup>K. Balakoteswara rao, <sup>2</sup>S.Ragamayi, <sup>3</sup>Cheruvu Krishnaveni

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, S V University, Tirupathi, Andhra Pradesh, India.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Maris Stella College, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh, India

<sup>1</sup> balakoteswararaokedari@gmail.com, <sup>2</sup>sistla.raaga1230@gmail.com, <sup>3</sup>kchervu@gmail.com

## Article Info

Volume 83

Page Number: 2703 - 2710

Publication Issue:

May - June 2020

## Article History

Article Received: 11August 2019

Revised: 18November 2019

Accepted: 23January 2020

Publication: 10May2020

## Abstract:

In this paper, we introduce and study the concept of Bipolar Fuzzy Sub Ordered  $\Gamma$ -Nearing. we establish a one-one correspondence between Bipolar Fuzzy sub Ordered  $\Gamma$ -Nearing and crisp sub Ordered  $\Gamma$ -Nearing. Later, we define homomorphism on Bipolar-Fuzzy Ordered  $\Gamma$ -Nearing and we verified that the homomorphic pre image of a Bipolar Fuzzy sub Ordered  $\Gamma$ - Nearing is also a Bipolar Fuzzy sub Ordered  $\Gamma$ - Nearing . Moreover we prove that homomorphic image of a Bipolar Fuzzy sub Ordered  $\Gamma$ - Nearing possessing both Supremum property and infimum property is a Bipolar Fuzzy sub Ordered  $\Gamma$ -Nearing.

**Keywords:** Fuzzy sub set, Ordered  $\Gamma$ -Near ring, Bipolar Fuzzy sub Ordered  $\Gamma$ - Nearing

## I. INTRODUCTION

Zadeh [ 6 ] introduced fuzzy subset in 1965. Later, it has been studied by several authors and applied on different algebraic structures like semi groups, semi rings,  $\Gamma$  -semi rings..... etc. Later, the concept of ideal theory in  $\Gamma$ - Nearing and fuzzy ideals in  $\Gamma$ -Nearing were introduced and studied by Bh. Satyanarayana[1] and G.L.Booth[3]. Further, S.Ragamayi([8],[17]) has introduced and studied the structures of Lattice fuzzy sub  $\Gamma$ -Nearrings in her doctoral thesis.

Furthermore K.Balakoteswara rao([2][18]) studied and originated the above ideas on Ordered  $\Gamma$ -Nearing and introduced "Lattice-Fuzzy Sub Ordered  $\Gamma$ -Nearrings" and " vague Ordered  $\Gamma$ -Nearrings". As a sequel of above work, now we introduce Bipolar Fuzzy subset of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -Nearing which is an extension of a fuzzy subset of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -Nearing. We establish a one-one correspondence between Bipolar Fuzzy sub Ordered  $\Gamma$ -Nearing and crisp sub Ordered  $\Gamma$ -Nearing. Also, we prove that the intersection of two Bipolar Fuzzy sub ordered  $\Gamma$ -Nearing is a Bipolar Fuzzy sub ordered  $\Gamma$ -Nearing . Later we verified that the

homomorphic pre image of a Bipolar Fuzzy sub Ordered  $\Gamma$ - Nearing is also a Bipolar Fuzzy sub Ordered  $\Gamma$ - Nearing . Moreover we prove that homomorphic image of a Bipolar Fuzzy sub Ordered  $\Gamma$ - Nearing possessing both Supremum and infimum properties is also a Bipolar Fuzzy sub Ordered  $\Gamma$ - Nearing.

## II. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1 :** A zero – symmetric GNR is a trip[le  $(\tilde{K}, +, \Gamma)$ , where

1.  $(\tilde{K}, +)$  is a group
2.  $(\tilde{K}, +, \delta)$  is a nearing where  $\Gamma \neq \Phi$  with binary operators on  $\tilde{K}$ ,  $\forall \delta \in \Gamma$ .
3.  $f \delta (g \eta h) = (f \delta g) \eta h \quad \forall f, g, h \in \tilde{K}; \delta, \eta \in \Gamma$ .
4.  $f \delta g = 0, \forall f \in \tilde{K}, \delta \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 2.2 :**  $E \neq \Phi, A \subseteq \tilde{K}$ , where  $\tilde{K}$  be a GNR is said to be a SGNR if

1.  $f - g \in E$
2.  $f \delta g \in E, \forall \delta \in \Gamma; f, g \in \tilde{K}$

**Definition 2.3:** A Fuzzy subset  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $\tilde{K}$  is a Fuzzy SGNR , if

$$\mathcal{W}(f - g) \geq \min\{ \mathcal{W}(f), \mathcal{W}(g) \}$$

$$\mathcal{W}(f \delta g) \geq \min\{ \mathcal{W}(f), \mathcal{W}(g) \}, \forall \delta \in \Gamma; f, g \in \tilde{K}$$

**Definition 2.4 :** A GNR,  $\tilde{K}$  is called as an OGNR if it admits a compatible relation " $\leq$ ", If  $a \leq b$  and  $c \leq d$  then

$$a + c \leq b + d$$

$$a\delta c \leq b\delta d$$

$$c\delta a \leq d\delta b; \forall a, b, c, d \in \tilde{K}; \delta \in \Gamma.$$

**Definition 2.5:** Let  $\tilde{K}$  be a partially OGNR .

$\emptyset \neq N$  of  $\tilde{K}$  is said to be SOG NR, if

$$f - g \in N$$

$$f\delta g \in N$$

$$\text{if } a \leq b \text{ then } a + f \leq b + g$$

$$\text{if } a \leq b \text{ and } c \geq 0 \text{ then } c\delta a \leq c\delta b \text{ and } a\delta c \leq b\delta c;$$

$$\forall a, b, c, f, g \in \tilde{K}; \delta \in \Gamma.$$

**Definition 2.6:** Let  $\tilde{K}$  be an OGNR .A fuzzy subset  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $\tilde{K}$  is said to FSOG NR Of  $\tilde{K}$  if

$$\mathcal{W}(f - g) \geq \min\{\mathcal{W}(f), \mathcal{W}(g)\}$$

$$\mathcal{W}(f\delta g) \geq \min\{\mathcal{W}(f), \mathcal{W}(g)\},$$

$$f \leq g \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(f) \geq \mathcal{W}(g); \forall \delta \in \Gamma;$$

$$f, g \in \tilde{K}.$$

**Definition 2.7:** The union and intersection of any family  $\{\mu_i / i \in \Delta\}$  of Fuzzy subsets of a set X are defined as

$$\bigcup_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i(x) = \sup_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i(x), \forall x \in X$$

$$\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i(x) = \inf_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i(x), \forall x \in X$$

**Definition 2.8:** Let X be the universe of discourse. A bipolar-valued fuzzy set  $\varphi$  in X is an object having the form

$\varphi = \{ \langle x, \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(x), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(x) \rangle / x \in X \}$  where  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}: X \rightarrow [-1, 0]$  are mappings. The positive membership degree  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}$  denoted the satisfaction degree of an element x to the property corresponding to a bipolar-valued fuzzy set  $\varphi = \{ \langle x, \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(x), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(x) \rangle / x \in X \}$  and the negative membership degree  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}$  denotes the satisfaction degree of x to some implicit counter-property of  $\varphi = \{ \langle x, \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(x), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(x) \rangle / x \in X \}$ .

**Definition 2.9:** For a bipolar fuzzy set

$\varphi = (X; \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(x), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(x))$  and  $(s, t) \in [-1, 0] \times [0, 1]$  we define level subset of  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}$  is

$$\varphi_t^P = \{x \in X; \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(x) \geq t\} \text{ and } \varphi_s^N = \{x \in X; \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(x)$$

$\leq s\}$  which are called the positive t-cut of  $\varphi = (X; \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(x), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(x))$  and the negative s-cut of  $\varphi = (X; \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(x), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(x))$  respectively. For  $(s, t) \in [-1, 0] \times [0, 1]$ , the set  $\varphi_{(t,s)} = \varphi_t^P \cap \varphi_s^N$  is called the (t, s)-cut of  $\varphi = (X; \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(x), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(x))$ .

**Definition 2.10:** Let  $\mu_i = \{(\mu_i^{+}, \mu_i^{-}) / i \in \Delta\}$  be a family bipolar Fuzzy subsets of a set X then the union and intersection are defined by

$$\bigcup_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i^{+}(x) = \sup_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i^{+}(x), \forall x \in X$$

$$\bigcap_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i^{-}(x) = \inf_{i \in \Delta} \mu_i^{-}(x), \forall x \in X$$

Notations :

$\tilde{K}$  represents “zero-symmetric Ordered  $\Gamma$ -Nearing”.

**GNR** represents “Gamma Nearing”.

**OGNR** represents “ Ordered Gamma Nearing”.

**SGNR** represents “ Sub Gamma Nearing”.

**SOGNR** represents “ Sub Ordered Gamma Nearing”.

**FSOGNR** represents “Fuzzy Sub Ordered Gamma Nearing”.

**BFSOGNR** represents “ Bipolar Fuzzy Sub Ordered Gamma Nearing”.

### III. Main results on Bipolar Fuzzy Sub Ordered $\Gamma$ -Nearing

**Definition 3.1:** Let  $\tilde{K}$  be an OGNR and  $\varphi$  be a bipolar Fuzzy subset of  $\tilde{K}$ . We say that  $\varphi$  is a BFSOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$  if

- 1)  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p - q) \geq \min\{\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q)\}$  and  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p - q) \leq \max\{\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q)\}$
- 2)  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p \gamma q) \geq \min\{\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q)\}$  and  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p \gamma q) \leq \max\{\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q)\}$
- 3)  $p \leq q \rightarrow \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p) \geq \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q)$  and  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p) \leq \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q) \forall p, q \in \tilde{K}, \gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**Example 3.2:** Consider the additive group  $\tilde{K} = \mathbb{Z}$ , Set of integers and  $\Gamma = \{0, 1, 2\}$ .

Then  $\tilde{K}$  is a GNR with zero symmetry with the mapping  $\mathbb{I} \times \Gamma \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ .

And clearly  $\mathbb{I}$  is admitting a compatible relation " $\leq$ " which is a partial ordering on  $\mathbb{I}$  satisfies the following conditions.

If  $a \leq b$  and  $c \leq d$  then

(i)  $a + c \leq b + d$

(ii)  $a \gamma c \leq b \gamma d$

(iii)  $c \gamma a \leq d \gamma b$ ;  $\forall a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{I}; \gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Hence  $\mathbb{I}$  is an OGNR.

Define  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+} : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by

$$\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p) = \begin{cases} 0.8, & \text{if } p = 0 \\ 0.5, & \text{if } p \text{ is positive integer} \\ 0.4, & \text{if } p \text{ is negative integer} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}$  is a FSOGNR of  $\mathbb{I}$ .

Define  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-} : \mathbb{I} \rightarrow [-1, 0]$  by

$$\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p) = \begin{cases} -0.5, & \text{if } p = 0 \\ -0.3, & \text{if } p \text{ is positive integer} \\ -0.1, & \text{if } p \text{ is negative integer} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}$  is a FSOGNR of  $\mathbb{I}$ .

Therefore  $\varphi = \{ \langle p, \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p) \rangle / p \in \mathbb{I} \}$  is a BFSOGNR of  $\mathbb{I}$ .

**Theorem 3.3:** A Bipolar valued Fuzzy subset  $\varphi$  of an OGNR,  $\tilde{K}$  is a BFSOGNR,  $\tilde{K}$  if and only if it's (t,s)-cut is a SOGNR,  $\tilde{K}$ .

proof: Suppose  $\varphi$  is BFSOGNR,  $\tilde{K}$ .

case(1): Let the positive membership degree of  $\varphi$ , i.e.,  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}$  is a FSOGNR,  $\tilde{K}$ .

Now, we have to prove that

$\varphi_t^P = \{ p \in M / \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p) \geq t \}$  is SOGNR,  $\tilde{K}$ .

Let  $p, q \in \varphi_t^P$ .

$\rightarrow \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p) \geq t$  and  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q) \geq t$

$\rightarrow \min\{ \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q) \} \geq t$

Now, 1.  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p-q) \geq \min\{ \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q) \} \geq t$ .

$\rightarrow p-q \in \varphi_t^P$ .

2.  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p \gamma q) \geq \min\{ \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q) \} \geq t$ .

$\rightarrow p \gamma q \in \varphi_t^P$ .

Then  $\varphi_t^P$  is SOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$ .

on the contrary suppose that  $\varphi_t^P$  is a SOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$ .

Now, we prove that  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}$  is FSOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$ .

Let  $p, q \in \tilde{K}$ .

Let  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p) = t_1$ ;  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q) = t_2$

put  $t = \min(t_1, t_2)$ .

Then  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p) = t_1 \geq t$ ;  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q) = t_2 \geq t$ .

$\rightarrow p, q \in \varphi_t^P$ .

$\rightarrow p-q \in \varphi_t^P$  and  $p \gamma q \in \varphi_t^P$

$\rightarrow \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p-q) \geq t$  and  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p \gamma q) \geq t$

$\rightarrow \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p-q) \geq \min(\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q))$  and

$\rightarrow \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p \gamma q) \geq \min(\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q))$

Let  $p \leq q$ .

presume if  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p) < \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q)$ .

Then  $\exists t_1 \in [0, 1] \ni \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p) < t_1 < \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q)$ .

Then  $q \in \varphi_{t_1}^P$  and  $p \notin \varphi_{t_1}^P$ .

This is an inconsistency.

thus  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p) \geq \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q)$ .

Then  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}$  is a FSOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$ .

case(2): Let the negative membership degree of  $\varphi$ , i.e.,  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}$  is a FSOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$ .

Now, we have to verify that

$\varphi_s^N = \{ p \in M / \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p) \leq s \}$  is SOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$ .

Let  $k, m \in \varphi_s^N$ .

$\rightarrow \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(k) \leq s$  and  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(m) \leq s$

$\rightarrow \max\{ \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(k), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(m) \} \leq s$

Now,  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(k-m) \leq \max\{ \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(k), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(m) \} \leq s$ .

$\rightarrow k-m \in \varphi_s^N$  and

Also, we have

$\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(k \gamma m) \leq \max\{ \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(k), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(m) \} \leq s$ .

$\rightarrow k \gamma m \in \varphi_s^N$

Then  $\varphi_s^N$  is a SOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$ .

on the contrary presume that  $\varphi_s^N$  is a SOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$ .

Now, we prove that  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}$  is a FSOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$ .

Let  $k, m \in \tilde{K}$ .

Let  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(k) = s_1$   $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(m) = s_2$ .

put  $s = \max(s_1, s_2)$ .

Then  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(k) = s_1 \leq s$ ;  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(m) = s_2 \leq s$ .

$\rightarrow k, m \in \varphi_s^N$

$\rightarrow k-m \in \varphi_s^N$  and  $\rightarrow k \gamma m \in \varphi_s^N$

$\rightarrow \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(k-m) \leq s$  and

$\rightarrow \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(k \gamma m) \leq s$ .

$\rightarrow \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(k-m) \leq \max(\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(k), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(m))$

and

$\rightarrow \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(k \gamma m) \leq \max(\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(k), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(m))$

Let  $k \leq m$ .

Infer that if possible  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(k) > \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(m)$ .  
Then  $\exists s_1 \in [-1, 0] \cap \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(k) > s_1 > \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(m)$ .  
Then  $m \in \varphi_{s_1}^N$  and  $k \notin \varphi_{s_1}^N$ .  
Which is a contradiction.  
Therefore  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(k) \leq \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(m)$ .  
Then  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}$  is a FSOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$ .

**Theorem 3.4:** Let  $\varphi = (\tilde{K}; \mu_{\varphi}^{+}, \mu_{\varphi}^{-})$  be a BFSOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$ . Then the sets

$M_{\mu_{\varphi}^{+}} = \{ p \in \tilde{K} / \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p) = \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(0) \}$  and  
 $M_{\mu_{\varphi}^{-}} = \{ p \in \tilde{K} / \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p) = \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(0) \}$  are SOGNRs of  $\tilde{K}$ .  
proof:

case(1): Let the positive membership degree of  $\varphi$ , i.e.,  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}$

be a FSOGNR of  $M$  and let  $p, q \in M_{\mu_{\varphi}^{+}}$ .

Then 1)  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p-q) \geq \min \{ \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q) \} = \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(0)$   
 $\rightarrow \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p-q) = \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(0)$

Hence  $p-q \in M_{\mu_{\varphi}^{+}}$ .

2)  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p \gamma q) \geq \min \{ \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q) \} = \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(0)$

$\rightarrow \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p \gamma q) = \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(0)$

Hence  $p \gamma q \in M_{\mu_{\varphi}^{+}}$ .

Thus  $M_{\mu_{\varphi}^{+}}$  is a SOG NR of  $\tilde{K}$ .

case(2): Let the negative membership degree of  $\varphi$ , i.e.,  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}$

be a FSOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$  and let  $p, q \in M_{\mu_{\varphi}^{-}}$ .

Then

1)  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p-q) \leq \max \{ \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q) \} = \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(0)$

$\rightarrow \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p-q) = \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(0)$

Hence  $p-q \in M_{\mu_{\varphi}^{-}}$ .

2)  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p \gamma q) \leq \max \{ \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q) \} = \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(0)$

$\rightarrow \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p \gamma q) = \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(0)$

Hence  $p \gamma q \in M_{\mu_{\varphi}^{-}}$ .

Thus  $M_{\mu_{\varphi}^{-}}$  is a SOG NR of  $\tilde{K}$ .

**Theorem 3.5:** Let  $\varphi_1 = (\tilde{K}; \mu_{\varphi_1}^{+}, \mu_{\varphi_1}^{-})$  and  $\varphi_2 = (\tilde{K}; \sigma_{\varphi_2}^{+}, \sigma_{\varphi_2}^{-})$  be two BFSOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$ .

Then  $\varphi_1 \cap \varphi_2$  is a BFSOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$ .

Proof:

Case-1: Let the positive membership degree of  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  be

$\mu_{\varphi}^{+}$  and  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{+}$  are two FSOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$ .

Let  $p, q \in \tilde{K}$ , Take

1)

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_{\varphi}^{+} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{+})(p-q) &= \min \{ \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p-q), \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(p-q) \} \\ &\geq \min \{ \min [ \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q) ], \min [ \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(q) ] \} \\ &= \min \{ \min [ \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(p) ], \min [ \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q), \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(q) ] \} \\ &= \min \{ (\mu_{\varphi}^{+} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{+})(p), (\mu_{\varphi}^{+} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{+})(q) \} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(\mu_{\varphi}^{+} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{+})(p-q) \geq \min \{ (\mu_{\varphi}^{+} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{+})(p), (\mu_{\varphi}^{+} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{+})(q) \}$ .

2)

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_{\varphi}^{+} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{+})(p \gamma q) &= \min \{ \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p \gamma q), \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(p \gamma q) \} \\ &\geq \min \{ \min [ \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q) ], \min [ \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(q) ] \} \\ &= \min \{ \min [ \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(p) ], \min [ \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q), \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(q) ] \} \\ &= \min \{ (\mu_{\varphi}^{+} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{+})(p), (\mu_{\varphi}^{+} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{+})(q) \} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(\mu_{\varphi}^{+} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{+})(p \gamma q) \geq \min \{ (\mu_{\varphi}^{+} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{+})(p), (\mu_{\varphi}^{+} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{+})(q) \}$ .

3) If  $p \leq q$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p) &\geq \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q) \text{ and } \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(p) \geq \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(q) \\ (\mu_{\varphi}^{+} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{+})(p) &= \min \{ \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(p) \} \\ &\geq \min \{ \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q), \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(q) \} \\ &= (\mu_{\varphi}^{+} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{+})(q) \end{aligned}$$

consequently we proved that the intersection of positive membership of  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  i.e.,

$(\mu_{\varphi}^{+} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{+})$  is also FSOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$ .

Case-2: Let the negative membership degree of  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  be  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}$  and  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{-}$  which are two FSOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$ .

Let  $p, q \in \tilde{K}$ , Take

1)

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_{\varphi}^{-} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{-})(p-q) &= \max \{ \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p-q), \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(p-q) \} \\ &\leq \max \{ \max [ \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q) ], \max [ \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(p), \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(q) ] \} \\ &= \max \{ \max [ \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p), \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(p) ], \max [ \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q), \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(q) ] \} \\ &= \max \{ (\mu_{\varphi}^{-} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{-})(p), (\mu_{\varphi}^{-} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{-})(q) \} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(\mu_{\varphi}^{-} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{-})(p-q) \leq \max \{ (\mu_{\varphi}^{-} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{-})(p), (\mu_{\varphi}^{-} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{-})(q) \}$ .

2)

$$(\mu_{\varphi}^{-} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{-})(p \gamma q) = \max\{\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p \gamma q), \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(p \gamma q)\} \\ \leq \max\{\max[\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q)], \max[\sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(p), \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(q)]\}$$

$$= \max\{\max[\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p), \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(p)], \max[\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q), \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(q)]\} \\ = \max\{(\mu_{\varphi}^{-} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{-})(p), (\mu_{\varphi}^{-} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{-})(q)\}$$

Therefore,  $(\mu_{\varphi}^{-} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{-})(p \gamma q) \leq \max\{(\mu_{\varphi}^{-} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{-})(p), (\mu_{\varphi}^{-} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{-})(q)\}$ .

3) If  $p \leq q$  then  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p) \leq \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q)$  and  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(p) \leq \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(q)$

$$(\mu_{\varphi}^{-} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{-})(p) = \max\{\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p), \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(p)\} \\ \leq \max\{\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q), \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(q)\} \\ = (\mu_{\varphi}^{-} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{-})(q)$$

Therefore we proved that the intersection of negative membership of  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ , i.e.,  $(\mu_{\varphi}^{-} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{-})$  is also FSOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$ .

Since we proved that  $(\mu_{\varphi}^{+} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{+})$  and  $(\mu_{\varphi}^{-} \cap \sigma_{\varphi}^{-})$  are FSOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$  which implies that  $\varphi_1 \cap \varphi_2$  is a BFSOGNR of  $\tilde{K}$ .

#### IV. Main results on Homomorphism of Bipolar Fuzzy Sub Ordered $\Gamma$ -Nearring

**Definition 4.1:** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two Ordered  $\Gamma$ -Nearings. Then  $f: V \rightarrow W$  is called a homomorphism if

- (i)  $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$  and
- (ii)  $f(x \alpha y) = f(x) \alpha f(y), \forall x, y \in V; \alpha \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 4.2:** Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two OGNRs and  $f: V \rightarrow W$ . Let  $\varphi_1 = (\mu_{\varphi}^{+}, \mu_{\varphi}^{-})$  be a bipolar Fuzzy subset. If the positive membership degree of  $\varphi_1$ , i.e.,  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}$  is a Fuzzy subset of  $V$  then the image of  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}$ ,  $f(\mu_{\varphi}^{+})$  is the Fuzzy subset in  $W$  defined by

$$f(\mu_{\varphi}^{+})(y) = \begin{cases} \sup_{z \in f^{-1}(y)} \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(z), & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$\forall y \in W$ , where  $f^{-1}(y) = \{x/f(x)=y\}$ .

Also If negative membership degree of  $\varphi_1$ , i.e.,  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}$  is a Fuzzy subset of  $V$  then the image of  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}$ ,  $f(\mu_{\varphi}^{-})$  is the Fuzzy subset in  $W$  defined by

$$f(\mu_{\varphi}^{-})(y) = \begin{cases} \sup_{z \in f^{-1}(y)} \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(z), & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$\forall y \in W$ , where  $f^{-1}(y) = \{x/f(x)=y\}$ .

Let  $\varphi_2 = (\sigma_{\varphi}^{+}, \sigma_{\varphi}^{-})$  be a bipolar Fuzzy subset.

If positive membership degree of  $\varphi_2$ , i.e.,  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{+}$  is a Fuzzy subset in  $W$  then the inverse image  $f^{-1}(\sigma_{\varphi}^{+})$  of  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{+}$  is the Fuzzy subset in  $V$  by  $f^{-1}(\sigma_{\varphi}^{+})(x) = \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(x)), \forall x \in V$ .

If negative membership degree of  $\varphi_2$ , i.e.,  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{-}$  is a Fuzzy subset in  $W$  then the inverse image  $f^{-1}(\sigma_{\varphi}^{-})$  of  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{-}$  is the Fuzzy subset in  $V$  by  $f^{-1}(\sigma_{\varphi}^{-})(x) = \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(x)), \forall x \in V$ .

**Definition 4.3:** Let  $\varphi_1 = (\mu_{\varphi}^{+}, \mu_{\varphi}^{-})$  be a bipolar Fuzzy subset. The Fuzzy subset  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}$  of  $V$  is said to have the Sup. property if for any subset  $A$  of  $V$ ,  $\exists x_0 \in A \ni \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(x_0) = \sup_{x \in A} \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(x)$ .

The Fuzzy subset  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}$  of  $M$  is said to have the Inf. property if for any subset  $A$  of  $V$ ,  $\exists x_0 \in A \ni \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(x_0) = \inf_{x \in A} \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(x)$ .

**Theorem 4.4:** An OGNR homomorphic pre-image of a BFSOGNR is a BFSOGNR.

Proof:

Let  $f: V \rightarrow W$  be an OGNR homomorphism.

Let  $\varphi_1 = (V; \mu_{\varphi}^{+}, \mu_{\varphi}^{-})$  be a BFSOGNR of  $V$ .

and  $\varphi_2 = (W; \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}, \sigma_{\varphi}^{-})$  be a BFSOGNR of  $W$ .

Case-1:

Let the positive membership degree of  $\varphi_2$  i.e.,  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{+}$  be a BFSOGNR of  $W$

and Let the positive membership degree of  $\varphi_1$  i.e.,  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}$  be the pe-image of  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{+}$  under 'f'.

Now, we have to prove that  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}$  is BFSOGNR of  $V$  under 'f'.

Then,

1)

$$\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p-q) = \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(p-q)) \\ = \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(p)-f(q)) \\ \geq \min[\sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(p)), \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(q))] \\ = \min[\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q)].$$

Therefore  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p-q) \geq \min[\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q)]$

Again,



$$2) \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p \gamma q) = \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(p \gamma q))$$

$$= \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(p) \gamma f(q))$$

$$\geq \min[\sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(p)), \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(q))]$$

$$= \min[\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q)].$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p \gamma q) \geq \min[\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q)]$$

3) If  $p \leq q$  and  $f(p) \geq f(q)$  then

$$\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p) = \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(p))$$

$$\geq \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(q))$$

$$= \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q)$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p) \geq \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q).$$

Thus,  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}$  is FSOGNR of  $\mathbb{V}$  under 'f'.

case-2:

Let the negative membership degree of  $\varphi_2$  i.e.,  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{-}$  be a BFSOGNR of  $\mathbb{W}$

and Let the negative membership degree of  $\varphi_1$  i.e.,  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}$  be the pe-image of  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{-}$  under 'f'.

Now, we have to prove that  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}$  is BFSOGNR of  $\mathbb{V}$  under 'f'.

Then,

$$1) \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p - q) = \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(p - q))$$

$$= \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(p) - f(q))$$

$$\leq \max[\sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(p)), \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(q))]$$

$$= \max[\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q)].$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p - q) \leq \min[\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q)]$$

Again,

$$2) \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p \gamma q) = \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(p \gamma q))$$

$$= \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(p) \gamma f(q))$$

$$\leq \max[\sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(p)), \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(q))]$$

$$= \max[\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q)].$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p \gamma q) \leq \min[\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q)]$$

3) If  $p \leq q$  and  $f(p) \leq f(q)$  then

$$\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p) = \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(p))$$

$$\leq \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(q))$$

$$= \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q)$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p) \leq \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q).$$

Thus,  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}$  is FSOGNR of  $\mathbb{V}$  under 'f'.

as we proved that  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}$  and  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}$  are FSOGNRs of  $\mathbb{V}$  which implies that  $\varphi_1$  is a BFSOGNR of  $\mathbb{V}$ . Hence

the homomorphic pre-image of a BFSOGNR is a BFSOGNR.

**Theorem 4.5:** An OGNR homomorphic image of a BFSOGNR possessing both the Supremum and infimum properties is a BFSOGNR.

Proof: Let  $f: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$  be an OGNR homomorphism.

Let  $\varphi_1 = (\mathbb{V}; \mu_{\varphi}^{+}, \mu_{\varphi}^{-})$  be a BFSOGNR of  $\mathbb{V}$ .

and  $\varphi_2 = (\mathbb{W}; \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}, \sigma_{\varphi}^{-})$  be a BFSOGNR of  $\mathbb{W}$ .

Case-1:

Let the positive membership degree of  $\varphi_1$  i.e.,  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}$  be a BFSOGNR of  $\mathbb{V}$  possessing Supremum Property and Let the positive membership degree of  $\varphi_2$  i.e.,  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{+}$  be the image of  $\mu_{\varphi}^{+}$  under 'f'. Now, we have to prove that  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{+}$  is BFSOGNR of  $\mathbb{W}$ .

Given  $f(p), f(q) \in \mathbb{W}$ .

We have

$$p_0 \in f^{-1}(f(p)) \ni \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p_0) = \sup_{z \in f^{-1}(f(p))} \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(z)$$

$$q_0 \in f^{-1}(f(q)) \ni \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q_0) = \sup_{z \in f^{-1}(f(q))} \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(z)$$

$$1) \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(p) - f(q)) = \sup_{z \in f^{-1}(f(p) - f(q))} \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(z)$$

$$= \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p_0 - q_0)$$

$$\geq \min[\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p_0), \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q_0)]$$

$$= \min \{ \sup_{z \in f^{-1}(f(p))} \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(z), \sup_{z \in f^{-1}(f(q))} \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(z) \}$$

$$= \min [\sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(p)), \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(q))]$$

$$2) \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(p) \gamma f(q)) = \sup_{z \in f^{-1}(f(p) \gamma f(q))} \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(z)$$

$$= \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p_0 \gamma q_0)$$

$$\geq \min[\mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p_0), \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q_0)]$$

$$= \min \{ \sup_{z \in f^{-1}(f(p))} \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(z), \sup_{z \in f^{-1}(f(q))} \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(z) \}$$

$$= \min [\sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(p)), \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(q))]$$

3) If  $p \leq q$  then

$$\sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(p)) = \sup_{z \in f^{-1}(f(p))} \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(z)$$

$$= \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(p_0)$$

$$\geq \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(q_0)$$

$$= \sup_{z \in f^{-1}(f(q))} \mu_{\varphi}^{+}(z)$$

$$= \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(q))$$

Therefore  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(p)) \geq \sigma_{\varphi}^{+}(f(q))$ .

This proves that  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{+}$  is a Fuzzy SOGMR of  $\mathbb{W}$ .

Case-2:

Let the negative membership degree of  $\varphi_1$  i.e.,  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}$  be a FSOGMR of  $\mathbb{V}$  possessing Infimum Property and

Let the negative membership degree of  $\varphi_2$  i.e.,  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{-}$  be the image of  $\mu_{\varphi}^{-}$  under 'f'.

Now, we have to prove that  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{-}$  is FSOGMR of  $\mathbb{W}$ .

Given  $f(p), f(q) \in \mathbb{W}$ .

$$p_0 \in f^{-1}(f(p)) \ni \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p_0) = \inf_{z \in f^{-1}(f(p))} \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(z)$$

$$q_0 \in f^{-1}(f(q)) \ni \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q_0) = \inf_{z \in f^{-1}(f(q))} \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(z)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(p) - f(q)) &= \inf_{z \in f^{-1}(f(p) - f(q))} \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(z) \\ &= \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p_0 - q_0) \\ &\leq \max[\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p_0), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q_0)] \\ &= \max\{\inf_{z \in f^{-1}(f(p))} \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(z), \inf_{z \in f^{-1}(f(q))} \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(z)\} \\ &= \max[\sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(p)), \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(q))] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2) \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(p) \gamma f(q)) &= \inf_{z \in f^{-1}(f(p) \gamma f(q))} \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(z) \\ &= \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p_0 \gamma q_0) \\ &\leq \max[\mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p_0), \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q_0)] \\ &= \max\{\inf_{z \in f^{-1}(f(p))} \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(z), \inf_{z \in f^{-1}(f(q))} \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(z)\} \\ &= \max[\sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(p)), \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(q))] \end{aligned}$$

3) If  $p \leq q$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(p)) &= \inf_{z \in f^{-1}(f(p))} \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(z) \\ &= \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(p_0) \\ &\leq \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(q_0) \\ &= \inf_{z \in f^{-1}(f(q))} \mu_{\varphi}^{-}(z) \\ &= \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(q)) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(p)) \geq \sigma_{\varphi}^{-}(f(q))$ .

This proves that  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{-}$  is a FSOGMR of  $\mathbb{W}$ .

Since  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{+}$  and  $\sigma_{\varphi}^{-}$  are FSOGMR,  $N$  which implies that  $\varphi_2$  is a BFSOGMR of  $\mathbb{W}$ .

Hence the homomorphic image of a BFSOGMR possessing both the Supremum and infimum properties is a BFSOGMR.

## V. Scope and conclusion

In this paper, the concept of Bipolar Fuzzy SOGMR is introduced and we established a one-one correspondence between Bipolar Fuzzy SOGMR and crisp SOGMR. We are expecting that these structures are useful in developing Bipolar Fuzzy prime ideals, Bipolar Fuzzy maximal ideals and Bipolar Fuzzy semiprime ideals of an Ordered  $\Gamma$ -Nearring.

## VI. Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to Prof. K.L.N. Swamy and Prof. I.B Ramabhadra Sarma for their valuable suggestions and discussions on this work.

## References

1. Bh. Satyanarayana Contributions to Near – Ring Theory, Doctoral Thesis, Nagarjuna University, 1984.
2. Bharathi.D., K. Balakoteswararao, S. Ragamayi, "On Lattice Fuzzy Sub Ordered Gamma – Nearrings", in International Journal of Scientific Research in Mathematical and Statistical Sciences (ISROSET), Vol.6, Issue.6, pp.22-27, December(2019), E-ISSN:2348-4519.
3. G.L Booth, "A note on  $\Gamma$ - Near rings", Stud. Sci. Math. Hung.23(1988),471 – 475.
4. H. Khan, M. Ahmad and Ranjit Biswas; "On Vague Groups" International journal of Computational Cognition Vol.5, No.1,27-30 (2007).
5. John N Mordeson and D.S.Malik ; "Fuzzy Commutative Algebra", world Scientific Publishing Co. Pie. Ltd.
6. L.A.Zadeh; "Fuzzy Sets" , Information and Control Vol.8, 338-353
7. S.Ragamayi, "Normal Vague ideal of a Gamma Near Ring" , International Journal of Pharmacy and Technology, IJPT, Sep-2017, Vol. 9, Issue No.3, Page No. 30637-30646, ISSN: 0975-766X.
8. S.Ragamayi, J. Madhusudana Rao, T. Eswaralal and Y. Bhargavi, "Some Results on L- Fuzzy

- Sub Gamma Near Rings” Journal of Global Research in Mathematical Archives, Page No.63-69, ISSN: 23205822, Vol. 4, No.11, Nov 2017.
9. S.Ragamayi, J. Madhusudana Rao, T. Eswarlal and Y. Bhargavi, “Vague Ideals of a Gamma Near Ring”, Journal of Global Research in Mathematical Archives, Page No.70-75, ISSN: 23205822, Vol. 4, No.11, Nov 2017.
  10. S.Ragamayi\*, J. Madhusudana Rao T. Eswarlal and Y. Bhargavi, ” Vague Magnified Translation of a Gamma Near Ring ”, International Journal of Current Research, PP:59714-59717, ISSN: 0975833X, Vol. 9, No.10, OCT 2017.
  11. S.Ragamayi, J. Madhusudana Rao T. Eswarlal and Y. Bhargavi, “L-Fuzzy ideals in  $\Gamma$ -Near rings”, published in (IJPAM) International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics in Volume 118 No. 2 2018, 291-307.
  12. S.Ragamayi, Y.Bhargavi and N K Reddy; “A Study of Normal Vague  $\Gamma$ -Near rings”, published in ICRTEMMS Conference Proceedings 348 (353), 348-353.
  13. S.Ragamayi, Y.Bhargavi, “Some Results on Homomorphism of Vague Ideal of a Gamma-Near Ring”, International Journal Of Scientific and technology Research, Vol.9, Issue.01, JAN, 2020, PP: 3972-3975, ISSN: 2277-8616.
  14. S.Ragamayi, Y.Bhargavi, ” A Study of Vague Gamma-Near rings”, International Journal Of Scientific and technology Research, Vol.9, Issue.01, JAN, 2020, PP: 3960-3963, ISSN: 2277-8616.
  15. S.Ragamayi, Y.Bhargavi, N.Konda Reddy, “Results on Homomorphism of a Vague  $\Gamma$ -Nearing”, International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE) ISSN: 2277-3878, Volume-8 Issue-4, November 2019, PP: 11053-11056.
  16. S Ragamayi, T Eswarlal, N Konda Reddy, “Homomorphism and characterization of Lattice-Fuzzy sub  $\Gamma$ -Nearrings”, International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (IJITEE) ISSN: 2278-3075, Volume-9 Issue-2, December 2019.
  17. S.Ragamayi, “A Study of L-Fuzzy and L-Vague Structures and their Ilk on  $\Gamma$ -Near Rings”, Doctoral Thesis, J N T University, 2019.
  18. S. Ragamayi, D. Bharathi, K. Balakoteswararao, “On Vague Ordered Gamma-Near Rings”, Test Engineering and Management, ISSN:0193-4120, PP: 6740-6745, Vol.83, No.1(2020).
  19. W.L. Gau and D.J. Buehrer, ”VAGUE SETS”, IEEE Transactions on systems, man and cybernetics, Vol.23, No.2, 610-613(1993).