

Transition to the New Technological Structure of the Agriculture

Dmitry Ivanovich Zhilyakov¹, Yuri Mikhailovich Avdeev², Lyudmila Leonidovna Orekhova³, Rustem Adamovich Shichiyakh⁴, Alla Borisovna Plisova⁵, Andrey Albertovich Tesalovsky⁶

¹Kursk State Agricultural Academy, Kursk, Russian Federation

²Vologda State University, Vologda, Russian Federation

³Don State Technical University, Rostov-on-Don, Russian Federation

⁴Kuban State Agrarian University named after I.T. Trubilin, Krasnodar, Russian Federation

⁵Financial University under the Government of the Russian Federation, Moscow, Russian Federation

⁶Vologda State University, Vologda, Russian Federation

Article Info	Abstract:
Volume 83	An analysis of the current state of the agricultural industry of the Siberian Federal
Page Number: 680 - 692	District.
Publication Issue:	The following methods were used: statistical, monographic, graphic. Results. The
May - June 2020	authors revealed that the main producers of agricultural products are agricultural organizations, followed by households (ranking second) and peasant farms (ranking third). Use in practice. The authors examined the dynamics of production of major crops and livestock, which subsequently can be included into the development
Article History	programs for state support of agriculture.
Article Received: 11 August 2019 Revised: 18 November 2019	The paper emphasizes that an increase in agricultural production is possible through the use of intensive factors of production.
Accepted: 23 January 2020 Publication: 09 May 2020	<i>Keywords</i> : agriculture, regions, animal husbandry, crop production, agricultural producer.

I. INTRODUCTION

Siberia is one of those regions that play a crucial role in the formation of food supplies for the entire state. This plays a significant role in planning potential opportunities in the implementation of the Food Security Doctrine. However, it is worth noting that the period of long economic reforms significantly affected agricultural production, which was reflected in a decrease in agricultural production and weakening the economic potential of agricultural producers located in the Siberian Federal District (Sycheva et al., 2019). The main reason for the decline in agricultural production is the lack of efficient use of available resources, as well as problems with further sales of finished products of the agricultural sector.

The growing of agricultural production and the further sale of finished products is one of the main tasks of the agro-industrial sector of the Siberian Federal District in the context of import substitution (Kuznetsova et al., 2019a; Bozhkova et al., 2019; Voronkova et al., 2019; Frolova et al, 2019; Kuznetsov and Suprun, 2018; Mandal and Sanyal, 2019; Prakash and Garg, 2019;



Kuznetsov et al., 2017; Turgaeva et al., 2020).

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The problem of agricultural development is the most important research topic of leading economic researchers and schools. A great contribution to the conceptual and practical issues of agricultural development was made by Altukhov, Ushachev, Paptsov, Chernyaeva, Pershukevich, Pankov, Sandu and many others.

Among foreign economists, the problems of sustainable agricultural development are covered by Smith, Ricardo, Simon, Marshall, Pareto and others.

III. METHODS

As the main objective of the study, the authors put consideration and analysis of the main trends in the development of the agro-industrial sector of the Siberian Federal District. In accordance with the objective, the object of study is the agriculture of the Siberian Federal District. The object of observations is agricultural producers and consumers of agricultural products.

The subject of the study is the distribution of agricultural industries depending on climatic factors.

The methodological and theoretical basis was the

works by foreign and domestic scholars on the problem of agricultural development, regulations of the Russian Federation, decrees and reference materials.

During the study, methods of statistical analysis, analogy and comparison, causal, graphic and monographic methods were used (Fedulova et al., 2019 a,b; Kilinc et al., 2018; Garafieva et al., 2019; Gendler et al., 2019; Bekmansurov et al., 2019).

IV. RESULTS

The Siberian Federal District is one of the largest agricultural districts located in the Russian Federation. The territory of the district occupies 30% of the land area of the Russian Federation, which in absolute terms amounts to 5,145 thousand square kilometers, in terms of the size of the territory the district is second only to the Far Eastern Federal District being 6,169.3 thousand square kilometers, the share of which is 36%.

In terms of the number of people living in its area, the district ranks 3rd, which in absolute terms is 19,324.0 thousand people, inferior to the Central federal district (39,104.3 thousand people) and the Volga federal district (29,673.6 thousand people). The population density of the district is 3.8 people per 1 sq. km (Table 1).

Regions	Territory		Population	size	Population density, people per	
	Thousand sq. km	% To total	Thousand people	% To total		
The Russian Federation	17125,2	100,0	146544,7	100,0	8,6	
By federal districts: Central	650,2	3,8	39104,3	26,7	60,1	
North West	1687,0	9,8	13853,7	9,5	8,2	

Table 1. Area and number of federal districts of the Russian Federation, 2018



South	420,9	2,5	14044,6	9,6	33,4
North Caucasian	170,4	1,0	9718,0	6,6	57,0
Volga	1037,0	6,1	29673,6	20,2	28,6
Ural	1818,5	10,6	12308,1	8,4	6,8
Siberian	5145,0	30,0	19324,0	13,2	3,8
Far Eastern	6169,3	36,0	6195,0	4,2	1,0
Crimean	27,0	0,2	2323,4	1,6	86,1

The territory of the district has a favorable economic location, connecting the European and Eastern parts of the state. The Siberian Federal District includes 12 constituent entities of the Russian Federation. The geographical location of the district was of great importance in the formation of economic potential and the national division of labor. It is worth noting that the district occupies a significant territory and is located in several climatic zones, significantly different from each other, both in temperature conditions and in the development of natural systems (Kuznetsova et al., 2019b; Polyakova et al., 2018a,b; Dunets et al., 2019a,b; Ivanova et al., 2019; Pavlyshyn et al., 2019; Yamova et al., 2018; Garafiev et al., 2019; Glushkov et al., 2019; Paptsov and Nechaev, 2019).

At the end of the year, the district ranked 4^{th} in the country's agricultural production. The share of agricultural products produced in Central district is 25%, Volga – 23%, Ural –19%, Siberian – 12.5% (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Agricultural products, 2018, %

The Siberian Federal District specializes in dairy and beef cattle breeding, pig breeding, and poultry farming. In 2013 - 2018, steady dynamics of an increase in agricultural production by 53% is observed. The main crops are grain, potatoes and vegetables. Over the past 5 years, the grain harvest increased by 3%, potatoes and vegetables decreased by 8 and 5.5%, respectively.

The basis of animal husbandry is cattle breeding and pig farming. The number of cattle over 5 years decreased by 6%. As for milk production, despite the use of modern technologies in dairy cattle breeding, milk production decreased by 443.6 thousand tons. Positive changes have taken place in the poultry industry, primarily due to technological updating of



the industry and an increase in consumer demand for finished products (Akhmadeev et al., 2016; Derkho et al., 2019; Nagimov et al., 2018). Egg production increased by 572 million pcs. or 9.6%.

Indicators	Years							
-	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018		
Agricultural products, million rubles	441028	428089	502653	543890	628955	672930		
Gross harvest of major c	rops, thousa:	nd tons:						
Grain	14602,0	8996,5	15325,1	13018,3	13803,6	15059,7		
Potatoes	5845,0	4478,9	5334,1	5330,4	5324,1	5346,9		
Vegetables	1694,5	1600,1	1597,6	1530,1	1555,0	1602,9		
Livestock (end of year),	thousand he	ads						
Cattle	4319,0	4279,0	4171,2	4149,2	4119,1	4054,1		
Pigs	3064,4	3226,9	3158,9	3139,8	3205,2	3029,6		
Production of basic lives	stock product	ts:						
Cattle and poultry for slaughter (in slaughter weight), thousand tons	1090,6	1145,1	1158,4	1162,1	1159,8	1174,1		
Milk, thousand tons	5725,8	5582,8	5299,2	5389,3	5386,5	5285,2		
Eggs, million pieces	5942,8	6178,4	6253,2	6332,9	6528,6	6515,6		

Table 2. Main indicators of agriculture in the Siberian Federal District

During the period under review, there have been some changes in the structure of agriculture. A study of agriculture shows that agriculture is experiencing significant difficulties, despite some positive developments over the past few years (Kolmakov and Polyakova, 2019; Tarman, 2018; Ohlin, 2019; Saenko et al., 2020; Polyakova et al., 2018; Kashirskaya et al., 2019, 2020; Panfilova et al., 2020; Kolmakov et al., 2019). Existing problems in the agricultural sector do not allow agricultural producers to reach a new qualitative level of development. The Siberian Federal District ranks 2nd among the regions with the largest number of cattle after the Volga Federal District. However, over the years of economic reform, the reduction in the number of cattle was more than 2.5 times.

In the rating of other federal districts, the Siberian Federal District takes one of the leading places in the production of agricultural products, ranks second in the livestock quantity, and third in the pigs' population, milk and egg production, as well as the cultivation of grain and potatoes, among other federal districts (Suryono et al., 2019; Dunets et al., 2018,



2019, 2020).

Table 3. Rating of Siberian Federal District among other regions in agricultural production, 2018

Regions	Picking vegetables	Agricultural products	Corn	Cattle stock	Pig population	Milk	Eggs	Potatoes
Siberian	5	4	4	2	3	3	3	3
Federal								
District								
Altai Republic	76	69	69	25	73	66	76	76
The Republic	61	66	65	17	45	50	65	58
of Buryatia								
Tyva Republic	79	77	71	45	72	71	81	75
The Republic	59	67	56	39	56	53	61	63
of Khakassia								
Altai region	16	8	4	4	9	3	16	6
Transbaikal	67	62	62	11	49	35	68	57
region								
Krasnovarsk	20	19	17	13	7	10	24	4
region								
Irkutsk region	37	31	33	21	32	27	18	17
Kemerovo	19	34	32	35	15	31	12	12
region								
Novosibirsk	25	22	18	10	19	14	11	25
region								
Omsk region	18	21	12	15	11	15	22	16
Tomsk region	50	55	47	60	50	61	58	47

Agriculture is an industry on a coordinated operation of which the quality of life and health of the people depend. In the period preceding the reforms in the industry, large agricultural producers functioned safely, today the situation is such that in this sector of the economy, along with corporate bodies, there is a significant number of peasant farms. The structure shows that in the district there is a tendency towards a decrease in the number of agricultural organizations, due to an increase in peasant farms. The largest number of peasant farms is located in Omsk - 48%, Irkutsk - 46%, Kemerovo Regions - 44.2%.



In the current conditions, personal subsidiary plots occupy an important place in providing the population with food. To the greatest extent among the regions of the district, personal subsidiary plots were developed in the Republic of Tuva – 17.1%. Although a number of difficulties arise when maintaining personal subsidiary plots, households fully provide themselves with the necessary food products (Glotko et al., 2019;

Kosenchuk et al., 2019; Aleshko et al., 2019; Goryushkina et al., 2019c,d; Lomova et al., 2019; Kolupaev et al., 2019; Safiullin and Akhmetshin, 2019). The share of private household plots in the overall structure of agricultural production is negligible, which is associated with low purchase prices and underdeveloped procurement points.

Regions	Agricultural organizations			1	Households	8	Peasant (farmer) households		
	2012 г.	2015 г.	2018 г.	2012 г.	2015 г.	2018 г.	2012 г.	2015 г.	2018 г.
Siberian Federal District	72,2	64,8	63,9	0,6	0,6	0,6	27,2	34,6	35,5
Altai Republic	88,5	85,1	81,9	0,2	0	0	11,3	14,9	18,1
The Republic of Buryatia	86,2	72,8	65,9	0,3	1,8	1,9	13,6	25,4	32,3
Tyva Republic	62,8	29,7	49,2	19,8	59,4	17,1	17,4	10,9	33,8
The Republic of Khakassia	70,3	68,9	59,2	-		-	29,7	31,1	40,8
Altai region	69,5	62,4	61,4	0	-	-	30,5	37,6	38,6
Transbaikal region	86,0	86,9	80,2	0,1	1,3	0,7	13,9	11,8	19,1
Krasnoyarsk region	90,1	86,5	84,9	0,3	0,3	0,3	9,5	13,2	14,8
Irkutsk region	63,1	54,5	53,5	0,3	0,6	0,5	36,6	44,9	46,0
Kemerovo region	56,6	53,4	53,8	1,5	3,0	2,0	41,9	43,7	44,2
Novosibirsk region	79,8	72,6	72,0	0,5	0,2	0,2	19,7	27,2	27,2
Omsk region	56,2	51,5	50,4	1,9	1,2	1,5	41,9	47,3	48,0
Tomsk region	79,8	74,2	76,0	0,1	0,2	0,1	20,1	25,6	23,9

Table 4. Structure of the supply of agricultural products of the Siberian Federal District, %

Small producers of agricultural products have a significant competitive advantage over large organizations, as they can respond more quickly to

marketneedsofconsumers.Over the past 20 years, agricultural products in actualprices have increased by more than 15 times, but this



does not allow one to conclude on the stable development of the industry, since high inflation rates significantly reduce the income of agricultural producers. Thus, the financial situation of producers can be judged by the index of agricultural production in comparison with the previous year.

Regions	Livestoc	k Pr	oduction	Crop p	roduction	volume	Agricult	ural Pr	oduction
	Volume	Index		index			Volume	Index	
	2013	2015	2018	2013	2015	2018	2013	2015	2018
	00.7	100.1	00.2	120.7	104.0	100 5	120.7	104.0	100.4
Siberian Federal	98,7	100,1	99,3	130,7	104,0	109,5	130,7	104,0	109,4
District									
Altai Republic	100,1	101,4	100,2	113,7	97,0	113,3	113,7	97,0	109,8
The Republic of	106,8	95,4	100,9	94,8	81,2	113,5	94,8	81,2	114,2
Buryatia									
Tyva Republic	101,6	100,8	100,2	97,5	88,2	115,1	97,5	88,2	114,0
The Republic of	102,3	97,4	98,1	95,9	83,5	110,0	95,9	83,5	107,1
Khakassia									
Altai region	98,4	99,4	97,7	148,9	115,6	125,2	148,9	115,6	125,4
Transbaikal region	102,8	100,0	96,2	92,5	79,8	104,3	92,5	79,8	103,2
Krasnoyarsk region	97,2	103,1	104,5	104,5	101,2	105,6	104,5	101,2	106,0
Irkutsk region	100,9	100,2	99,6	103,9	86,0	114,5	103,9	86,0	113,4
Kemerovo region	99,7	104,7	100,4	125,3	103,6	100,5	125,3	103,6	100,3
Novosibirsk region	95,0	99,4	101,3	140,9	112,6	100,8	140,9	112,6	100,6
Omsk region	97,1	96,7	96,5	170,2	104,4	99,4	170,2	104,4	99,3
Tomsk region	99,6	102,8	92,1	129,9	107,9	105,1	129,9	107,9	104,1

Table 5. Indices of the physical volume of agricultural production, %

At the end of 2018, the agricultural production index in farms of all categories amounted to 109.4%compared to the previous year. The crop production index was 99.3%, livestock – 109.5%. Negative changes in the decrease in agricultural production over the period under consideration affected Omsk Region - 99.3%, Kemerovo Region - 100.3% and Novosibirsk Region - 100.3%. First of all, this is due to a decrease in sown areas and a reduction in the livestock population.



Of particular importance for the development of agriculture in the Siberian Federal District is livestock. The climatic conditions of the district with a predominance of steppe and mountain-steppe terrain have a favorable effect on the development of the livestock industry. Its share is approximately 57%. A retrospective review indicates unsustainable agricultural development. Slower livestock production is caused by a wide range of problems the industry is facing (Goryushkina et al., 2019a,b; Kosov et al., 2016; Akhmetshin et al., 2018).

First of all, this is because of the complexity and energy intensity, as well as low prices for the final products and high costs associated with the procurement and storage of animal feed. For many agricultural organizations, animal husbandry has become unprofitable, due to difficulties with the further sale of products. Reduced production is observed in Tomsk, Omsk, Irkutsk Regions, as well as in Trans-Baikal and Altai Territories. The situation is the best in Novosibirsk Region, since there is a steady annual increase in the volume of output in the livestock industry (Kuznetsova et al., 2016; Frolova et al., 2020; Regaña et al., 2019; Boutelier, 2019; Masood et al., 2019).

It should be noted that during the economic reforms over the last few decades, the main damage was suffered by the enterprises engaged in crop production. In particular, this affected Republic of Buryatia, Trans-Baikal Territory, Novosibirsk and Kemerovo Regions. The largest sown areas are located in Altai Territory – 5,409.3, Omsk Region – 3,029.9 and Novosibirsk Regions – 2,353.3 thousand ha.

•	a				a	<i>c i</i>		
gions	Sown area	1		Sown areas for grain				
	2000 г.	2015 г.	2016 г.	2018 г.	2000 г.	2015 г.	2016 г.	201
erian Federal	16738,8	15026,7	15072,6	15125,3	10178,6	9883,3	9887,6	988
trict								
ai Republic	106,2	108,3	103,7	102,1	19,4	6,5	5,8	4,9
Republic of	361,6	154,0	146,6	143,2	252,9	85,5	83,9	82,4
yatia								
a Republic	44,2	27,2	35,4	34,3	29,4	6,1	5,9	5,8
Republic of	280,9	240,4	242,1	241,3	140,3	92,7	91,8	92,1
ıkassia								
ai region	5344,9	5394,3	5409,3	5407,6	3415,1	3632,1	3633,2	375
nsbaikal region	1926,4	208,2	199,4	200,0	1083,3	1043,4	1111,5	121
snoyarsk region	339,6	1538,1	1559,4	1596,9	243,3	139,7	139,8	135
itsk region	938,7	675,3	676,5	678,1	559,6	410,5	412,3	414
nerovo region	1141,6	971,7	961,2	963,3	643,5	605,8	607,6	609
vosibirsk region	2718,8	2339,2	2353,3	2358,7	1743,4	1517,6	1578,9	164
sk region	2964,8	3029,4	3029,9	3031,1	1778,8	2146,0	2148,3	220
nsk region	488,4	339,9	356,0	358,8	270,1	197,6	196,5	198

Table 6.	Sown	area of	crops ((thousand b	ıa)
Lance V.	DOWI	area or	crops ,	ulousullu i	iu)

Significant volatility in crop production profitability indicates that agriculture is heavily influenced by the climatic conditions associated with the geographical location of the district. In addition, one of the reasons for the decrease in crop production is the decrease in the fertility of agricultural land due to the lack of



replenishment of nutrients of mineral fertilizers, as well as the lack of measures to protect plants. The provision of the industry with agricultural machinery has significantly decreased over the years of reform, which leads to an increase in the load per unit of equipment by 2-2.5 times. This leads to an increase in harvesting time, resulting in the loss of a significant number of agricultural products.

As for livestock, the most profitable agricultural organizations are located in Tomsk region – 34%, Altai Territory – 36.2%, Krasnoyarsk Territory – 21.5% and Novosibirsk Region – 19.8%.

V. CONCLUSION

An assessment of the development of agriculture in the Siberian Federal District allows one to conclude that the further development of the industry may be carried out in several ways, by attracting additional production sources – extensive, and by changing the efficiency of existing economic production resources. In economic theory, this path is called intensive and involves the use of highly skilled workers, advanced digital technologies, as well as the use of available land resources with greater efficiency, due to the timely introduction of organic and mineral fertilizers. The implementation of further measures to introduce digital technologies requires significant budget funding, as it is associated with an increase in the efficiency of agricultural production (Kuznetsova et al., 2019c; Abramovich et al., 2019).

REFERENCES

Abramovich, B. N., Bogdanov, I. A., Kopteva, A. V., & Malarev, V. I. (2019). The system of trigeneration with binary cycle for use as an energy source for gas fuel. Paper presented at the IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, , 643(1) doi:10.1088/1757-899X/643/1/012092

- Aleshko, R., Petrova, L., Ivanova, E., Plotnikova, A., Melnikov, M., & Antonov, V. (2019). Human Capital in the Digital Economy Format. International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology, 9(1), 7517-7523. doi:10.35940/ijeat.A2201.109119
- Akhmadeev, R.G., Kosov, M.E., Bykanova, O.A., Ekimova, K.V., Frumina, S.V., Philippova, N.V. (2016) Impact of tax burden on the country's investments. Journal of Applied Economic Sciences, 11 (5) pp. 992-1002.
- Akhmetshin, E. M., Vasilev, V. L., Mironov, D. S., Zatsarinnaya, E. I., Romanova, M. V., & Yumashev, A. V. (2018). Internal control system in enterprise management: Analysis and interaction matrices. European Research Studies Journal, 21(2), 728-740.
- Bekmansurov, R. H., Kovalenko, K. E., Utkina, K. M., Novikova, Y. A., Zatsarinnaya, E. I., & Rozentsvaig, A. I. (2019). State support for persons with disabilities in the field of entrepreneurship. Journal of Entrepreneurship Education, 22(S2), 1-9.
- Boutelier, S. (2019). Limiting Learning Environments through Domestication. Journal of Culture and Values in Education, 2(1), 45-55. Retrieved from http://cultureandvalues.org/index.php/JCV/article/ view/29
- Bozhkova, G. N., Shastina, E. M., Kalimullina, O. V., & Shatunova, O. V. (2019). Study of literary images of gifted characters in optional activities as a means to develop capable and talented youth. Space and Culture, India, 7(1), 264-273. doi:10.20896/saci.v7i1.463
- Derkho, M. A., Gritsenko, S. A., Vilver, D. S., Sereda, T. I., & Fomina, N. V. (2019). Thyroid hormone role in metabolic status and economic beneficial features formation in replacement gilts of different breeds. Periodico Tche Quimica, 16(31), 472-483.
- 9. Dunets, A. N., Muhamedieva, A. G., Sycheva, I.



N., Perepechkina, E. G., Vakhrushev, I. B., & Kulchytskiy, A. V. (2018). Spatial development of tourism based on the structure model of the territorial tourist complex. European Research Studies Journal, 21(Special Issue 3), 200-210. doi:10.35808/ersj/1373

- Dunets, A., Muhamedieva, A., Sycheva, I., Perepechkina, E., Vakhrushev, I., & Kulchytskiy, A. (2019a). Spatial tourism planning: Using the model of functional and planning complexes. Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism, 10(4), 711-719. doi:10.14505/jemt.v10.4(36).01
- Dunets, A. N., Ivanova, V. N., & Poltarykhin, A. L. (2019b). Cross-border tourism cooperation as a basis for sustainable development: A case study. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 6(4), 2207-2215. doi:10.9770/jesi.2019.6.4(45)
- Dunets, A. N., Yankovskaya, V. V., Plisova, A. B., Mikhailova, M. V., Vakhrushev, I. B., & Aleshko, R. A. (2020). Health tourism in low mountains: A case study. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 7(3), 2213-2227. doi:10.9770/jesi.2020.7.3(50)
- Dunets, A. N., Vakhrushev, I. B., Sukhova, M. G., Sokolov, M. S., Utkina, K. M., & Shichiyakh, R. A. (2019). Selection of strategic priorities for sustainable development of tourism in a mountain region: Concentration of tourist infrastructure or nature-oriented tourism. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 7(2), 1217-1229. doi:10.9770/jesi.2019.7.2(29)
- Fedulova, I., Ivanova, V., Atyukova, O., & Nosov, V. (2019a). Inclusive education as a basis for sustainable development of society. Journal of Social Studies Education Research, 10(3), 118-135.
- Frolova, I. I., Nosov, V. V., Zavyalova, N. B., Dorofeev, A. E., Vorozheykina, T. M., & Petrova, L. I. (2020). Labor opportunism as a blocking factor for the innovative development of industrial enterprises. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability*

Issues, 7(3), 2228-2242. doi:10.9770/jesi.2020.7.3(51)

- 16. Frolova, I., Voronkova, O., Islamutdinova, D., Gordeyeva, O., Fedulova, I., & Zhminko, A. (2019). Ecologization of agroindustrial production: Organizational and economic transformations. Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism, 10(3), 622-630. doi:10.14505/jemt.v10.3(35).16
- Garafiev, I., Gatina, L., & Vafina, Y. (2019). Clustering of students by type of relationship to invest in a special human capital. WSEAS Transactions on Business and Economics, 16, 463-470.
- Garafieva, G., Khvorova, E., & Lisina, O. (2019). Modification of calculated intangible value model to evaluate the use of companies' intellectual capital. WSEAS Transactions on Business and Economics, 16, 500-507.
- Goryushkina, N. E., Gaifutdinova, T. V., Logvina, E. V., Redkin, A. G., Kudryavtsev, V. V., & Shol, Y. N. (2019a). Basic principles of tourist services market segmentation. International Journal of Economics and Business Administration, 7(2), 139-150.
- Goryushkina, N., Voinova, N., Voronkova, O., Sitnov, A., Shichiyakh, R., & Gordeyeva, O. (2019b). Theoretical aspects of entrepreneurial education for hospitality industry. Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism, 10(4), 835-841. doi:10.14505/jemt.10.4(36).14
- Goryushkina, N., Petrova, L., Khudyakova, T., Tchuykova, N., Klimovskikh, N., Voinova, N. (2019c). Diversification and its Role in Improving Hotel Industry Businesses Competitiveness. International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering, 8(4), 605-609. doi:10.35940/ijrte.D7795.118419
- 22. Goryushkina, N. E., Brezhnev, O. V., Khrushchev,E. G., Rodionova, E. A., Sorokina, J. V., &Voronkova, O. Y. (2019d). Modernizationpotential capacity of great reforms of alexander II:



Alcohol reform of 1863. International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering, 8(12), 737-742. doi:10.35940/ijitee.L3152.1081219

- Gendler, S. G., Rudakov, M. L., & Kuznetsov, V. S. (2019). Evaluation principles of the dust influence of mining enterprises on the environment. [Kalnrūpniecības uzņēmumu putekļu ietekmes uz vidi novērtēšanas principi] Latvian Journal of Physics and Technical Sciences, 56(3), 62-69. doi:10.2478/lpts-2019-0020
- Glotko, A., Sycheva, I., Petrova, L., Vorozheykina, T., Tolmachev, A., & Islamutdinova, D. (2019). Environmental problems of processing industry in the agro-industrial complex of the region. Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism, 10(5), 974-983. doi:10.14505/jemt.v10.5(37).04
- Glushkov, V., Dolzhenkova, E., Voronkova, O., Perova, A., Klimovskikh, N., Kondrashova, K. (2019). Human Capital in the Sustainable Development of the Regional Economy. International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering, 8(4), 3556-3561. doi:10.35940/ijrte.D7796.118419
- Ivanova, V., Poltarykhin, A., Szromnik, A., & Anichkina, O. (2019). Economic policy for country's digitalization: A case study. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 7(1), 649-661. doi:10.9770/jesi.2019.7.1(46)
- Kashirskaya, L., Voronkova, O., Sitnov, A., Shichiyakh, R., Kudinova, M., & Sycheva, I. (2019). Rural development through the formation of zonal agro-ecological clusters. Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism, 10(3), 651-659. doi:10.14505/jemt.v10.3(35).19
- Kashirskaya, L. V., Sitnov, A. A., Davlatzoda, D. A., & Vorozheykina, T. M. (2020). Knowledge audit as a key tool for business research in the information society. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 7(3), 2299-2319. doi:10.9770/jesi.2020.7.3(56)
- 29. Kolmakov, V., & Polyakova, A. (2019). Regional

free cash flow dataset: An approach to regional performance evaluation. Data in Brief, 25 doi:10.1016/j.dib.2019.104175

- Kolmakov, V., Polyakova, A., & Polyakov, S. (2019). A valuation approach to the Russian liberal establishment consolidation. Administratie Si Management Public, 2019(32), 93-107. doi:10.24818/amp/2019.32-07
- Kolupaev, A. A., Gali, B. T., Konteva, O. E., Tinkov, S. A., Avdeev, Yu. M., Aleshin, P. N. (2019). Economic Aspects of the Development of Peasant Household in Russia During the World War I. International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering, 8(4), 2158-2161. doi:10.35940/ijrte.D7791.118419
- Kosov, M.E., Akhmadeev, R.G., Bykanova, O.A., Osipov, V.S., Ekimova, K.V., Frumina, S.V. (2016) Economic practicability substantiation of financial instrument choice. Journal of Applied Economic Sciences, 11 (8), pp. 1613-1623
- 33. Kilinc, E., Tarman, B., & Aydin, H. (2018). Examining turkish social studies teachers' beliefs about barriers toTechnology integration. TechTrends, 62(3), 221-223. doi:10.1007/s11528-018-0280-y
- Kosenchuk, O., Shumakova, O., Zinich, A., Shelkovnikov, S., & Poltarykhin, A. (2019). The development of agriculture in agricultural areas of siberia: Multifunctional character, environmental aspects. Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism, 10(5), 991-1001. doi:10.14505/jemt.v10.5(37).06
- Kuznetsova, I. G., Voronkova, O. Y., Nimatulaev, M. M., Ruiga, I. R., Zhuruli, G. N., & Levichev, V. E. (2019a). Ensuring the national security of agriculture in the digital era through the formation of human capital. International Journal of Economics and Business Administration, 7, 558-569.
- Kuznetsova, I. G., Bulyga, R. P., Rakhmatullina, L.
 V., Titova, S. V., Shichiyakh, R. A., & Zakirov, R.
 A. (2019b). Problems and prospects of human



capital development in modern russia. International Journal of Economics and Business Administration, 7(2), 164-175.

- Kuznetsov, V. S., & Suprun, I. K. (2018). The assessment of alumina production waste impact on natural water. Journal of Ecological Engineering, 19(2), 154-158. doi:10.12911/22998993/82267
- Kuznetsov, V. S., Suprun, I. K., & Petrov, D. S. (2017). Assessment and reduction of drilling waste impact on the environment components. Neftyanoe Khozyaystvo - Oil Industry, (1), 94-95.
- 39. Kuznetsova I.G., Shelkovnikov S.A., Denisov D.A, Peshkova O.O., Malyshev Y.A. (2018). Enhancing the instruments of state support for the process of building human capital. International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology (IJCIET) Volume 9, Issue 8, August 2018, pp. 1633-1641.
- 40. Kuznetsova I.G., Shelkovnikov S.A., Poddueva I.S., Hodos D.V., Yakimova L.A., Ganieva I.A. (2016). Regulation of the labor market and human capital in the agrulture of the Novosibirsk region. International Journal of Economic Research. № 9, Vol. 13. Pp. 3829-3845.
- Lomova, L. A., Voronkova, O. Y., Aleshko, R. A., Goneev, I. A., Avdeev, Y., & Sochnikova, I. Y. (2019). Ecological and economic consequences of water pollution. International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology, 9(1), 7056-7062. doi:10.35940/ijeat.A1925.109119
- 42. Mandal, J., & Sanyal, S. (2019). Geospatial analysis of fluoride concentration in groundwater in puruliya district, west bengal. Space and Culture, India, 6(5), 71-86. doi:10.20896/SACI.V6I5.369
- 43. Masood, O.; Tvaronavičienė, M.; Javaria, K. 2019. Impact of oil prices on stock return: evidence from G7 countries, Insights into Regional Development 1(2): 129-137. https://doi.org/10.9770/ird.2019.1.2(4)
- 44. Nagimov, A. R., Akhmetshin, E. M., Slanov, V. P., Shpakova, R. N., Solomonov, M. P., & Il'yaschenko, D. P. (2018). Foresight technologies in the formation of a sustainable regional

development strategy. European Research Studies Journal, 21(2), 741-752.

 Ohlin, C. (2019). Information and Communication Technology in a Global World. Research in Social Sciences and Technology, 4(2), 41-57. Retrieved from

https://ressat.org/index.php/ressat/article/view/409

- 46. Panfilova, E., Dzenzeliuk, N., Domnina, O., Morgunova, N., & Zatsarinnaya, E. (2020). The impact of cost allocation on key decisions of supply chain participants. International Journal of Supply Chain Management, 9(1), 552-558.
- 47. Polyakova, A. G., Loginov, M. P., Strelnikov, E. V., & Usova, N. V. (2019a). Managerial decision support algorithm based on network analysis and big data. International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology, 10(2), 291-300.
- 48. Polyakova, A. G., Loginov, M. P., Serebrennikova, A. I., & Thalassinos, E. I. (2019b). Design of a socio-economic processes monitoring system based on network analysis and big data. International Journal of Economics and Business Administration, 7(1), 130-139.
- Pavlyshyn, L., Voronkova, O., Yakutina, M., & Tesleva, E. (2019). Ethical problems concerning dialectic interaction of culture and civilization. Journal of Social Studies Education Research, 10(3), 236-248.
- 50. Prakash, R.; Garg, P. 2019. Comparative assessment of HDI with Composite Development Index (CDI), Insights into Regional Development 1(1): 58-76. https://doi.org/10.9770/ird.2019.1.1(5)
- Polyakova, A. G., Akhmetshin, E. M., Goloshchapova, L. V., Rakhmeeva, I. I., Noeva, E. E., & Rakovskiy, V. I. (2018). A model of regional economic space modernization. European Research Studies Journal, 21(Special Issue 2), 624-634.
- Regaña, C., Piñero-Virué, R., & Reyes-Rebollo, M. (2019). How Multimedia Support Collaborative Research: Best Practices. Research in Social



Sciences and Technology, 4(2), 58-72. Retrieved from

https://ressat.org/index.php/ressat/article/view/405

- 53. Safiullin, M. R., & Akhmetshin, E. M. (2019). Digital transformation of a university as a factor of ensuring its competitiveness. International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology, 9(1), 7387-7390. doi:10.35940/ijeat.A3097.109119
- 54. Saenko, N., Voronkova, O., Zatsarinnaya, E., & Mikhailova, M. (2020). Philosophical and cultural foundations of the concept of "nihitogenesis". *Journal of Social Studies Education Research*, 11(1), 88-103.
- 55. Sycheva, I., Voronkova, O., Vorozheykina, T., Yusupova, G., Semenova, A., & Ilyin, A. (2019). The main directions of improving the environmental and economic efficiency of regional production. Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism, 10(3), 631-639. doi:10.14505/jemt.v10.3(35).17
- 56. Suryono, S., Surarso, B., Saputra, R., & Sudalma, S. (2019). Real-time decision support system for carbon monoxide threat warning using online expert system. Journal of Applied Engineering Science, 17(1), 18-25.
- 57. Tarman, B. (2018). The Awareness of Social Studies Teacher Candidates' Regarding Special Area Competencies and the Overlap Level of These Competencies with Social Studies Degree, Journal of Ethnic and Cultural Studies, 5 (2), 16-28
- 58. Turgaeva, A. A., Kashirskaya, L. V.. Y. A., Zurnadzhyants, Latysheva, О. A., Pustokhina, I. V., & Sevbitov, A. V. (2020). Assessment of the financial security of insurance companies in the organization of internal control. Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues, 7(3), 2243-2254. doi:10.9770/jesi.2020.7.3(52)
- 59. Voronkova, O., Antonov, S., Lamanov, E., Sterlikov, F., Shafranskaya, C., & Yashin, D. (2019). Entrepreneurial activity as an important factor in the development of the "green" economy. International Journal of Innovative Technology and

Exploring Engineering, 9(1), 2492-2496. doi:10.35940/ijitee.A4633.119119

 Yamova, O. V., Maramygin, M. S., Sharova, I. V., Nesterenko, J. N., & Sobina, N. V. (2018). Integral valuation of an enterprise's competitiveness in the industrial economy. European Research Studies Journal, 21, 777-787.