

Social Media and Freedom of Speech with Respect to Indian Law

Shruti Sinha¹

¹ Dept. of Centre of Excellence, JagranLakecity University, Bhopal

Article Info

Volume 83

Page Number: 8950 - 8952

Publication Issue:

March - April 2020

Article History

Article Received: 24 July 2019

Revised: 12 September 2019

Accepted: 15 February 2020

Publication: 09 April 2020

Abstract

India is the nation where all have the right to speak openly without apprehension. In accordance with Article 19(1)(a) of the Indian Constitution, which is recognized to be a basic land rule, the freedom of expression and expression are provided to each person. The condition of the Indians is far greater than other governments as they possess the fullest opportunity. But the situation has changed today, and it has been shown that this privilege is unfairly used in social networking sites around the globe.

Keywords; *Social Media, Freedom of Speech, Legislations.*

INTRODUCTION

The first requirement of democracy is freedom of expression. Freedom of speech. This occupies the preferred and significant place in the system of democracy; this frankly claims that it is the cornerstone of all other rights, including freedom of speech. Throughout modern times, the right to freedom of expression is widely accepted as the fundamental pillar of a democratic society and should always be secured. The undisturbed exchange of language in an anonymous forum is the first principle of a democratic society. Freedom of expression without restriction but without fear of consequences plays a key role in, and eventually with, the development of that particular culture. It is a fundamental human right from coercion or domination of the state that's one of the more significant.

The rights to freedom of speech men are the freedoms granted by Section 19 of the Confederation. These are the moral law or common law rights and therefore are not compensated for in a statute. Everyone has the freedom, as such, to

practice such freedoms, as long as restrictions may apply when the Government so needs.

The reader has more religious freedom than the writer. The reader and speaker endure as a breach of their civil rights, if exposure to one another's thoughts is denied. Such freedom is also important in order to seek the truth. Freedom of speech and expression is a very important fundamental human right. It is important in the creation of one's own personality and in the growth of constitutional democracy. The right to freedom of expression in a nation was said not just to be one's privilege but also to be understood and informed by a group.

SPEECH & EXPRESSION HISTORICAL FREEDOM

Freedom of expression and distribution is a requirement of the Europe State Renaissance, originally established in Europe in the sense of Common Law court decisions. Freedom of speech was created by the first statements of basic human rights at the start of the 18th century. Section 12 of the Virginia Bill of Rights, 1776, in the context of the British legal position, declared that freedom of

press has become one of the strongest bulwarks of liberty and can not be limited in despotic regimes.

Democracy is government by the people, for the people, and for the people, according to Abraham Lincoln. But if people are unaware of the problems to be addressed, the reasons for and against different solutions, and the evidence behind those claims, there can be no government by the people. Therefore, in a democracy, it is the people who are the rulers.

The plenary words of these proclamations signify, on the one hand, both democratic and right-oriented natives and, on the other, the right to information. In 1960, a version of Article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights was ratified by the United Nations Economic and Social Council in 1948.

Throughout India, the Preamble of the Constitutional is not one of those States ' declaration of independence, but the purpose of the National assembly. Preamble should be regarded as an essential part of the Constitution before interpreting every provision thereof. Including ambiguity as guidelines for legislating from the bench. The opening paragraph of the Confederation also notes that the rulers are the citizens

The electoral process plays a critical role in a democracy. This country's little man would have a fundamental human right to know the full details of a candidate representing him in Parliament, where legislation can be enforced to bind his rights and wealth.

FREEDOM OF PRESS

Under clause (a), Section 19(1), there is no explicit inclusion of freedom of speech or communication in the Indian Republic. The statement "speech and voice" is loosely connoted and, of necessity, "language" implies a fourth party to which thoughts are conveyed or transmitted. The argument, though, is that free speech and opinion also includes press

freedom. Free speech also includes the right to disseminate one's own views and the freedom to express ideas to others. The rights to write and report is granted for this privilege. In essence, media freedom requires freedom of speech and language. Contrary to the US Constitution,

WHETHER PRESS IS NATIVE

To protect the people of this country's right to freedom of speech, it is important for natives to benefit from diversity of viewpoints and a range of opinions on all public issues.

The freedom of expression and expression is protected only by individuals who are native and non-born citizens and by immigrants under Section 19(1)(a) of the Constitution. Actually, only persons, businesses, countries, and organizations are legal entities. This privilege is for natural people of Indian origin. The Supreme Court claimed that the statute on recycled paper does not expressly protect the basic right laid out in Section 19(1)(a). It was also claimed that legislative regulation which does not restrict expression material, but by the way restricts the action is not treated as a form of rule. Any by-laws or freedom of speech and freedom of expression are lawful as the same is relevant.

While the freedom of the press does not feature in Article 19(1)(a) it is obvious from the Supreme Court 49 that freedom of expression indeed provides for freedom of the press including freedom of publication, that is to say, the ability to print and distribute through prior authorization. The implementation of publishing especially post-censorship thus infringes the freedom of press.

COMMERCIAL ADVERTISEMENTS

The telecommunications authorities allowed commercial advertising to be included in Tata Press Yellow Pages in the telephone book. In issuing an order under the Indian Telegraph Act, Nigam and Union of India launched a wrongful death suit for an injunction claiming a privilege. The High Court

upheld in Tata's request against both the order of the Court. The Supreme Court denied the request and the Supreme Court had previously held in *HamdardDawakhana v. Union of India* that a commercial advertisement was not covered by the Constitution. After the Tata Press situation, this view is overridden. *HamdardDawakhana's* view is therefore limited to the security of dirty ads. The Tata Press case view includes all commercials besides dirty ones. Nonetheless, the Court has clearly stated that the Government can control the irritating, unfair, dishonest and distrustful product placement.

MOVES BY GOVEREMENT

Until recently, on one pretext or another, governments around the globe have attempted to withhold data from the common person. And now with the emergence of social media with tremendous authority to deliver data to the masses, governments that are closely attempting to control it are viewed as a threat. Because of its limitless opportunities and extensive reach, the Internet has become the foundation of modern civilization. It has gained a distinctive position in the functioning of democracies throughout the globe, as it is quite influential in the storage and dissemination of data and opinion. Natives can unite despite territorial constraints through social media and internet. Even though not everyone is physically present, the protest force is not in any manner diminished. So, it's obvious why governments around the globe are trying to censor the internet.

CONCLUSION

Of the social media is a strong way of expressing and upholding one's freedom of speech and speech. Furthermore, the government's tentatives to regulate social media are frequently used as illegal acts. On the other side, where the abuse of social media implies that legal regulation is required, legitimate concerns are raised about the violation of rights.

The latest cyber laws of India, though, are neither necessary nor appropriate in this regard. Analysis of the new IT legislation shows that the positions of the government in terms of digital communications defense are unstable and immense. It is soon assumed that the right to freedom of speech and expression was one of the most basic and important liberties.

This includes voicing one's opinions by means of words or published or audiovisual devices, advertisement or other outlets. Intolerant regimes have been strongly opposed to curbing or shocking this right, happens when the government has compromised tyranny

REFERENCES

- [1] Censoring the Internet", available on the Web, URL: http://barandbench.com/censoring_the_internet.html, accessed on 30/4/13
- [2] Randal Marlin, *Propaganda and the Ethics of Persuasion* (Ontario: Broadview Press, 2002), pp. 226-227
- [3] Freedom of Expression Everywhere", available on the Web, URL: <http://www.ohchr.org/EN/NewsEvents/Pages/Freedomofexpressioneverywhere.aspx>
- [4] UNESCO, *Freedom Of Expression Toolkit: A Guide Students*, 2013, 1-86, at 16, available on the Web, URL: <http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0021/002186/218618e.pdf>
- [5] *Indian Government v Social Networking Sites*", available on the Web, URL: http://barandbench.com/indian_government_v_social_networking_sites.html