

# Fixed Point Results for Pata Type Contractions in $G$ -Metric Spaces

G Sudhaamsh Mohan Reddy

Faculty of Science and Technology, ICFAI Foundation for Higher Education, Hyderabad- 501203, INDIA

## Article Info

Volume 83

Page Number: 3317 - 3320

Publication Issue:

March - April 2020

## Abstract:

In this article, the existence of fixed point for  $G$ -Pata type Zamfirescu mapping in a complete  $G$ -metric space is proved. Our result give existence of fixed point for a wider class of functions.

**Keywords:** Pata, contractions,  $G$ -metric spaces

## Article History

Article Received: 24 July 2019

Revised: 12 September 2019

Accepted: 15 February 2020

Publication: 22 March 2020

## I INTRODUCTION

Banach [1] proved the existence of fixed point on a complete metric space  $(X, d)$  in 1992. The mapping  $f$  has been considered to be a contraction and  $f$  takes points of  $X$  to itself. Later, several interpretations for the existence of fixed point with weaker conditions to contraction mapping were given. Later Kannan type [6], Chatterjea type [5] and Hardy-Rogers type mappings were introduced. Zamfirescu [21] introduced and gave the existence of fixed point for a generalized contraction mapping in 1972. In 2005, Zead Mustafa et al.[11] introduced the notion of  $G$ -metric spaces and they established new fixed point results in  $G$ -metric spaces. Later, several authors were established for fixed point results in this area.

Geno Kadwin Jacob et.[9] introduced the Pata type Zamfirescu contraction in complete metric space. In this paper, “define a  $G$ -Pata type Zamfirescu contraction and give fixed point results in complete  $G$ -metric spaces based on  $G$ -Pata type Zamfirescu contraction.

Throughout the paper,  $\Theta$  denotes the class of all increasing functions  $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $\Psi$  is continuous at ‘0’ with  $\Psi(0) = 0$ .

**Definition 1.1 [21]:** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be a Zamfirescu mapping if, for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $a, b, c \in [0, 1]$ , it satisfies the contraction.

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \max \{ad(x, y), \frac{b}{2}[d(x, f(x)) + d(y, f(y))], \frac{c}{2}[d(x, f(y)) + d(y, f(x))]\}.$$

In a recent, Pata [7] obtained the following refinement of the classical Banach contraction principle.

Let  $\Lambda \geq 0, \alpha \geq 1, \beta \in [0, \alpha]$  be any constants. For each  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq (1 - \varepsilon)d(x, y) + \Lambda \varepsilon^\alpha \cdot \Psi(\varepsilon)[1 + \|x\| + \|y\|]^\beta,$$

Where  $\|x\| = d(x, x_0)$  for arbitrary  $x_0 \in X$  and  $\Psi \in \Theta$ .

In a very recent paper, Jacob et. [9] obtained the following of the classical Banach contraction principle.

Let  $\Lambda \geq 0, \alpha \geq 1, \beta \in [0, \alpha]$  be any constants. For each  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq (1-\varepsilon)M(x, y) + \Lambda \varepsilon^\alpha \Psi(\varepsilon) [1 + \|x\| + \|y\| + \|f(x)\| + \|f(y)\|]^\beta, \frac{G(x, f(x), f(x)) + G(y, f(y), f(y)) + G(z, f(z), f(z))}{3},$$

Where

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{d(x, f(x)) + d(y, f(y))}{2}, \frac{d(x, f(y)) + d(y, f(x))}{2} \right\}$$

and

$$\|x\| = d(x, x_0) \text{ for arbitrary } x_0 \in X \text{ and } \Psi \in \Theta.$$

The following Lemma is used to prove our results.

**Lemma 1.1:**[10] If a sequence  $x_n \in X$  is not  $G$ -Cauchy, then there exist  $\delta > 0$  and two subsequences  $\{x_{m(k)}\}$  and  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $m(k)$  is the smallest index for each  $m(k) > n(k) > k$ ,

$$G(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \geq \delta \text{ and}$$

$$G(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)}) < \delta$$

Moreover, Suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) = 0$ .

Then we have

$$1) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)}) = \delta$$

$$2) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) = \delta$$

$$3) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) = \delta$$

$$4) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)}) = \delta$$

## II MAIN RESULTS

*Existence of fixed point for G-Pata type mappings*

In this section, we prove the existence of unique fixed point for  $G$ -Pata type Zamfirescu mappings.

Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. In the sequel, we

write  $\|x\| = G(x, x, x_0)$ , where

$x_0$  is an arbitrary element in  $X$ .

**Definition 2.1:** Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$ -metric space. A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be  $G$ -Pata type Zamfirescu mapping if for all  $x, y, z \in X, \Psi \in \Theta$  and for every  $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ ,  $f$  satisfies the inequality

$$G(f(x), f(y), f(z)) \leq (1-\varepsilon)M(x, y, z) + \Lambda \varepsilon^\alpha \Psi(\varepsilon) [1 + \|x\| + \|y\| + \|z\| + \|f(x)\| + \|f(y)\| + \|f(z)\|]^\beta,$$

Where

and  $\Lambda \geq 0, \alpha \geq 1, \beta \in [0, \alpha]$  are constants.

**Theorem 2.1:** Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G$ -metric space and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a  $G$ -Pata type Zamfirescu mapping. Then,  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary element in  $X$ .

Define  $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$  and  $c_n = G(x_n, x_n, x_0)$ .

To prove that  $G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n)$  is a non increasing sequence, take  $\varepsilon = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) &= G(f(x_n), f(x_n), f(x_{n-1})) \\ &\leq \max \left\{ G(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1}), \frac{2G(x_n, f(x_n), f(x_n)) + G(x_{n-1}, f(x_{n-1}), f(x_{n-1}))}{3}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{G(x_n, f(x_n), f(x_n)) + G(x_n, f(x_{n-1}), f(x_{n-1})) + G(x_{n-1}, f(x_n), f(x_n))}{3} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ G(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1}), \frac{2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)}{3}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})}{3} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \max \left\{ G(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1}), \frac{2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)}{3}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})}{3} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ G(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1}), \frac{2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)}{3}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)}{3} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \max \left\{ G(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1}), \frac{2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)}{3} \right\}$$

$$G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq G(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1}) \leq G(x_1, x_1, x_0) = c_1.$$

**Claim(1):**  $\{c_n\}$  is bounded

$$\begin{aligned} C_n &= G(x_n, x_n, x_0) \\ &\leq G(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_1) + G(x_1, x_1, x_0) \\ &\leq (1-\varepsilon) \max \{ G(x_n, x_n, x_0), \frac{2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_0, x_1, x_1)}{3}, \\ &\quad \frac{G(x_n, x_n, x_1) + G(x_n, x_n, x_1) + G(x_0, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})}{3} \} \\ &\quad + 2C_1 + \Lambda \varepsilon^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) [1 + \|x_n\| + \|x_n\| + 0 + \|x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1}\| + \|x_1\|]^\beta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (1-\varepsilon) \max \{ G(x_n, x_n, x_0), \frac{2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_0, x_1, x_1)}{3}, \\ &\quad \frac{2G(x_n, x_n, x_1) + G(x_0, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})}{3} \} \\ &\quad + 2C_1 + \Lambda \varepsilon^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) [1 + 2\|x_n\| + G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) + 2G(x_n, x_n, x_0) + \|x_1\|]^\beta \\ &\leq (1-\varepsilon) \max \{ C_n, C_1, G(x_n, x_n, x_0) + G(x_1, x_1, x_0) + G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) + G(x_n, x_n, x_0) \} \\ &\quad + 2C_1 + \Lambda \varepsilon^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) [1 + \|x_n\| + \|x_n\| + \|x_n\| + \|x_1\| + \|x_1\| + \|x_1\|]^\beta \\ &\leq (1-\varepsilon) \max \{ C_n, C_1, C_n + C_1 \} + 2C_1 \Lambda \varepsilon^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) [1 + 3C_n + 3C_1]^\beta \end{aligned}$$

By the same reason as in [8], it follows that is  $\{C_n\}$  bounded. Let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1}) = G$ . Since  $G(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1})$  is non increasing.

$$\begin{aligned} G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_n) &= G(f(x_n), f(x_n), f(x_{n-1})) \\ &\leq (1-\varepsilon) \max \{ G(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1}), \frac{2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)}{3}, \\ &\quad \frac{G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_n, x_n, x_n) + G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)}{3} \} \\ &\quad + \Lambda \varepsilon^\alpha \psi(\varepsilon) [1 + \|x_n\| + \|x_n\| + \|x_{n-1}\| + \|x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1}\| + \|x_n\|]^\beta \\ &\leq (1-\varepsilon) \max \{ G(x_n, x_n, x_{n-1}), \frac{2G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)}{3}, \\ &\quad \frac{G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n)}{3} \} + k\varepsilon \Psi(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Now, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get that  $G \leq k\varepsilon \Psi(\varepsilon)$  and hence  $G = 0$ .

*Claim(2):* The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a  $G$ -Cauchy. Suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  is not a  $G$ -Cauchy sequence, then by lemma 1.1, there exist sub sequences  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  and  $\{x_{m_k}\}$  with  $n_k > m_k > k$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &\leq G(x_{m_k}, x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}) = G(f(x_{m_k} - 1), f(x_{m_k} - 1), f(x_{n_k} - 1)) \\ &\leq (1-\varepsilon) \max \{ G((x_{m_k} - 1), (x_{m_k} - 1), (x_{n_k} - 1)), \frac{2G(x_{m_k} - 1, x_{m_k}, x_{m_k}) + G(x_{n_k} - 1, x_{n_k}, x_{n_k})}{3}, \\ &\quad \frac{G(x_{m_k} - 1, x_{m_k}, x_{m_k}) + G(x_{m_k} - 1, x_{n_k}, x_{n_k}) + G(x_{n_k} - 1, x_{m_k}, x_{m_k})}{3} \} + k\varepsilon \Psi(\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Now, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $\delta \leq k\varepsilon \Psi(\varepsilon)$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\{x_n\}$  is a  $G$ -Cauchy.

Since  $X$  is  $G$ -complete, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . Now, for all  $n \in N$  and for  $\varepsilon = 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} G(f(x), f(x), x) &\leq G(f(x), f(x), x_{n+1}) + G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x) \\ &\leq \max \{ G(x, x, x_n), \frac{G(x, f(x), f(x)) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})}{3} \} \\ &\quad \frac{G(x, f(x), f(x)) + G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_n, f(x), f(x))}{3} \\ &\quad + G(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x). \end{aligned}$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the above inequality concludes that

$$G(f(x), f(x), x) \leq \frac{5}{3} G(f(x), f(x), x).$$

Hence,  $x$  is a fixed point of  $f$ . For the uniqueness of fixed point, suppose that  $x$  and  $y$  are fixed points of  $f$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} G(f(x), f(y), f(y)) &\leq (1-\varepsilon) \max \{ G(x, y, y), \frac{G(x, f(x), f(x)) + 2G(y + f(y), f(y))}{3}, \\ &\quad \frac{G(x, f(y), f(y)) + G(y + f(y), f(y)) + G(y, f(x), f(x))}{3} \} + k\varepsilon \Psi(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get  $G(x, y, y) \leq k\varepsilon \Psi(\varepsilon)$  and hence  $x = y$ .

Therefore  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

### III REFERENCES

- [1]. Banach, S. Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leurs applications aux equations integrals. Fund. Math. 1922, 3, 133–181.
- [2]. Berinde, V., Stability of Picard iteration for contractive mappings satisfying an implicit relation, Carpathian J. Math., 27 (2011), no. 1, 13-23.
- [3]. Ciric, Lj., On contraction type mappings, Math. Balcanica 1 (1971), 52-57.

- [4]. Chakraborty, M.; Samanta, S.K. On a fixed point theorem for a cyclical Kannan-type mapping, pre-print (2012). Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform. 2013, 28, 179–188.
- [5]. Chatterjea, S.K. Fixed-point theorems. C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci. 1972, 25, 727–730.
- [6]. Kannan, V. Some results on fixed points. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 1968, 60, 71–76.
- [7]. Pata, V. A fixed point theorem in metric spaces. J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2011, 10, 299–305.
- [8]. Kadelburg, Z.; Radenovic, S. Fixed point theorems under Pata-type conditions in metric spaces. J. Egypt. Math. Soc. 2016, 24, 77–82.
- [9]. Geno Kadwin Jacob, M. S. Khan, Choonkil Park 3, and Sungsik Yun., On Generalized PataType Contractions, Mathematics 2018, 6, 25.
- [10]. Mona Khandaqji, Sharifa Al-Sharif and Mohammad Al-Khaleel, Property P and some fixed point results on  $(\psi, \phi)$ -weakly contractive maps in G-metric spaces, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 2012.
- [11]. Mustafa.Z and B. Sims, “A new approach to generalized metric spaces,” *Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 289–297, 2006.
- [12]. Rhoades, B.E. A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 1977, 226, 257–290.
- [13]. Samet, B., Vetro, C., and Vetro,P., Fixed point theorems for  $\alpha - \phi$  contractivetype mappings, Nonlinear Anal. 75 (2012), 2154-2165.
- [14]. G. Sudhaamsh Mohan Reddy, Generalization of Contraction Principle on G-Metric Spaces, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 14(9) (2018), 1177-1283.
- [15]. G. Sudhaamsh Mohan Reddy, Fixed point theorems of contractions of G-metric Spaces and property 'P' in G-Metric spaces, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 14(6)(2018), 885-896.
- [16]. G. Sudhaamsh Mohan Reddy, A Common Fixed Point theorem on complete G-metric spaces, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 118(2)(2018), 195-202.
- [17]. G. Sudhaamsh Mohan Reddy, Fixed Point Theorems for  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$ -Uniformly Locally Generalized Contractions, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 14(9)(2018), 1177-1183.
- [18]. G. Sudhaamsh Mohan Reddy, New proof for generalization of contraction principle on G-Metric spaces, Jour of Adv Research in Dynamical & Control Systems, Vol. 11, Special Issue-08, (2019), 2708-2713.
- [19]. V Srinivas Chary, G Sudhaamsh Mohan Reddy, Fixed Point Results for Almost  $Z_G$ -contraction via Simulation Functions in G-metric spaces, International Journal of Control and Automation, Vol. 12, No. 6, (2019), pp. 608-615.
- [20]. G. Sudhaamsh Mohan Reddy, Fixed point theorems of Rus-Reich- Ciri'c type contraction and Hardy-Rogers type contraction on G-metric spaces International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, Vol. 29, No.02, (2020), pp. 2782-2787.
- [21]. Zamfirescu, T. Fixed point theorems in metric spaces. Arch. Math. 1972, 23, 292–298.
- [22]. Shafti, S.S. and Ahmadi, M., 2018. Improvement of Psychiatric Symptoms by Cardiac Rehabilitation in Coronary Heart Disease Vol 22 (2) 80, 89.
- [23]. Bonsaksen, T., Opseth, T.M., Misund, A.R., Geirdal, A.Ø., Fekete, O.R. and Nordli, H., 2019. The de Jong Gierveld Loneliness Scale used with Norwegian clubhouse members: Psychometric properties and associated factors Vol 22 (2) 88, 100.
- [24]. Ritter, V.C., Nordli, H., Fekete, O.R. and Bonsaksen, T., 2017. User satisfaction and its associated factors among members of a Norwegian clubhouse for persons with mental illness. International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation. Vol 22 (1) 5, 14.
- [25]. Ferrazzi, P., 2018. From the Discipline of Law, a Frontier for Psychiatric Rehabilitation. International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation, Vol 22(1) 16, 28.
- [26]. Bornmann, B.A. and Jagatic, G., 2018. Transforming Group Treatment in Acute Psychiatry: The CPA Model. International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation, Vol 22(1) 29, 45.