

Special Concrete by Using Quarry Dust as Partial Replacement of Cement

Shaik Nazma¹, S. Madhavi²

¹Assistant Professor, ²Assistant Professor Department of Civil Engineering, Dr. K. V. SubbaReddy College of Engineering for Women, Kurnool, Andhra Pradesh ¹nazu.nazmala@gmail.com, ²gandhi.madhavi1978@gmail.com

Article Info Volume 83 Page Number: 2020 - 2026 Publication Issue: March - April 2020

Abstract

The objective of the present project work is to study the behavior of concrete in partial replacement for cement with quarry dust in proportions. Quarry dust a waste from the quarry processing units accounts 30% of the final product from quarry industry. In order to achieve the stated objectives, this study is carried out in different stages. In the initial stage, all the materials and equipment needed must be gathered or checked for availability. Oncethe characteristics of the materials selected have been studied through appropriate tests, the applicable standards of specification are referred. The properties of hardened concrete are importantasit is retained fortheremainder of the concrete life. Ingeneral, the important properties of hardened concrete are strength and durability. An experimental program is held to measure strength of hardenedconcrete.

Keywords: Classification, Machine Learning, Apache Spark, Hadoop.

Article History Article Received: 24 July 2019 Revised: 12 September 2019 Accepted: 15 February 2020 Publication: 18 March 2020

I. Introduction

Inthepastonlystrengthofconcretewasconsideredint heconcretemixdesign procedure assuming strengthof concrete in all pervading factor for all other desirable properties of concrete including durability. In the recent revision of IS 456 of 2000,

oneofthepointsdiscussed, deliberated and revised is the edurability aspects of concrete, in line with codes of practice of other countries, which have better experiences in dealing with durability of concrete structures. One of the main reasons for deterioration of concrete in the past is that too much emphasis is placed on concrete compressive strength. As a matter of fact, advancement in concrete technology has been generally on the strength of concrete. It is now recognized that strength of concrete alone is not sufficient, the degree of harshness of the environment condition to which concrete is exposed over its entire life is equally important.

II. Literature Review

Ganesha Mogaveera. G.Sarangapani and Anand V.R. (2011) have studied the effect of

Partial Replacement of Sand by Quarry dust in Plain Cement

Concretefordifferentmixproportions. They have concluded that sandcanbere placed effectively by means of quarry dust up to 20% to 25%.

III. Testes on Materials COMPRESSIVE STRENGTHS FOR DIFFERENT GRADES OF CONCRETE WITH DIFFERENT CURINGPERIODS M20 7 days average compressivestrength:

	•	0	1	0
Mix . No	w/c	% of Cement	% of Quarry Dust	7 Days Avg Compressive Strength (Mpa)
1	0.44	100	0	18.48
2	0.44	90	10	18.30
3	0.44	80	20	20.75
4	0.44	70	30	17.43

Table-1 Compressive strength for M20 Concrete-7 days curing

M20 28 days average compressivestrength:



Mix.No	w/c	% of Cement	% of Quarry Dust	28 Days Avg Compressive Strength (Mpa)
1	0.44	100	0	23.69
2	0.44	90	10	23.38
3	0.44	80	20	23.90
4	0.44	70	30	20.62

Table-2 Compressive strength for M20 Concrete-28 days curing

M30 7 days average compressivestrength:

	-			
Mix . No	W/C	% of Cement	% of Quarry Dust	7 Days Avg Compressive Strength (Mpa)
1	0.42	100	0	29.87
2	0.42	90	10	28.29
3	0.42	80	20	27.62
4	0.42	70	30	24.00

Table-3 Compressive strength for M30 Concrete-7 days curing

M30 28 days average compressivestrength:

Mix.No	w/c	% of Cement	% of Quarry Dust	7 Days Avg Compressive Strength (Mpa)
1	0.40	100	0	35.50
2	0.40	90	10	35.75
3	0.40	80	20	33.54
4	0.40	70	30	29.27

Table-4 Compressive strength for M30 Concrete-

28 days curing

M40 7 days average compressivestrength:

Mix. No	W/C	% of Cement	% of Quarry Dust	28 Days Avg Compressive Strength (Mpa)
1	0.42	100	0	41.70
2	0.42	90	10	40.54
3	0.42	80	20	42.50
4	0.42	70	30	39.78

Table-5 Compressive strength for M40 Concrete-7

days curing

1140 20 days average complessivesticingth.							
Mix.No	w/c	% of Cement	% of Quarry Dust	28 Days Avg Compressive Strength (Mpa)			
1	0.40	100	0	53.80			
2	0.40	90	10	53.50			
3	0.40	80	20	52.45			
4	0.40	70	30	49.60			

M40 28 days average compressivestrength:

Table-6 Compressive strength for M40 Concrete-28 days curing

IV. MIX DESIGN

Design of M20 as per **IS:10262:2009**.

a)	Maximum sizeofaggregate	=	
	20 mm		
b)	Degreeof workability	=	0.90
c)	Degreeofworkability	=	
	Good		
d)	Typeof exposure	=	
	Mild		
XX7		7 A	

W	С	FA	CA
186	422.73	622.91	1181.49
0.44	1	1.47	2.79

Design of M30 as per IS:10262:2009.

a) Maximum size of	= 20
aggregate	mm
b) Degree of	= 0.90
workability	
c) Degree of	= Good
workability	
d) Type of exposure	= Mild

W	С	FA	CA
176	420	626.29	1208.56
0.42	1	1.49	2.87

Design of M40 as per IS:10262:2009.

- a) Maximum sizeofaggregate = 20mm
- b) Degreeofworkability =0.90
- c) Degreeof workability =Good
- d) Typeof exposure =Mild

W	С	FA	CA
180	450	606.86	1191.52
0.42	1	1.35	2.65



V. Result

M20 average % of mass loss after immersion in H2SO4:

Mix.No	% of	% of	7 Days	28 Days
	Cement	Quarry	Avg % of	Avg % of
		dust	weight loss	weight loss
1	100	0	5.56	10.48
2	90	10	10.80	10.80
3	80	20	12.72	11.05
4	70	30	15.12	11.40

Table-7M20 average % of mass loss after immersion in H2SO4

M30 average % of mass loss after immersion inH2SO4:

Mix.No	% of cement	% of Quarry dust	7 Days Avg % of weight loss	28 Days Avg % of weightloss
1	100	0	4.76	9.65
2	90	10	7.92	11.72
3	80	20	7.18	11.83
4	70	30	18.81	11.75

Table-8 M30 average % of mass loss after immersion in H2SO4

M40 average % of mass loss after immersion inH2SO4:

Mix.No	% of	% of	7 Days	28 Days Avg
	Cement	Quarry	Avg %	% of
		dust	of	weight loss
			weight	
			loss	
1	100	0	2.60	3.38
2	90	10	1.06	3.20
3	80	20	1.35	5.25
4	70	30	2.45	3.90

Table-9 M40 average % of mass loss after immersion in H2SO4

M20 average % of mass loss after immersion inHCL:

Mix.	% of	% of	7 Days Avg	28 Days Avg
No	Cement	Quarry	% of	% of
		dust	weight loss	weight loss
1	100	0	6.60	9.98
2	90	10	6.88	11.25
3	80	20	6.86	11.48

4	70	30	6.87	11.70
•	10	50	0.07	11110

Table-10 M20average % of mass loss after immersion in HCL

M30 average % of mass loss after immersion inHCL:

Mix.	% of	% of	7 Days Avg	28 Days Avg
No	Cement	Quarry	% of	% of
		dust	weight loss	weight loss
1	100	0	2.10	1.13
2	90	10	2.34	2.35
3	80	20	1.55	1.82
4	70	30	1.88	2.63

Table-11 M30 average % of mass loss after immersion in HCL

M40 average % of mass loss after immersion inHCL:

	% of	% of	7 Days	28 Days
Mix.No	Cement	Quarry	Avg % of	Avg % of
		dust	weight loss	weight loss
1	100	0	3.00	0.21
2	90	10	2.50	3.50
3	80	20	1.70	2.48
4	70	30	2.72	3.50

Table-12 M40 average % of mass loss after immersion in HCL

M20 average % of 7 days Strength deterioration when immersed in H2SO4:

Mi x. No	% of Ceme nt	% of Quar ry Dust	7days Avg. Compress ive Strength before immersio n	7days Avg. Compress ive Strength after immersio n (H2SO4)	Average % of Strength deteriorat ion
1	100	0	18.48	14.96	19.05
2	90	10	18.30	12.92	29.40
3	80	20	20.75	14.58	29.73
Δ	70	30	17 43	12.57	27.88

Table-13 M20 average % of 7 days Strength deterioration when immersed in H2SO4





Fig-(7) 7 days strength deterioration in H2SO4-M20

M20 average % of 28 days Strength deterioration whenimmersed inH2SO4

x .N o	Ceme nt	% of Quar ry Dust	28 days Avg. Compress ive Strength before immersio n	28 days Avg. Compress ive Strength after immersio n (H2SO4)	Average % of Strength deteriorat ion
			1		
1	100	0	23.69	13.02	45.04
1 2	100 90	0 10	23.69 23.38	13.02 11.98	45.04 48.76
1 2 3	100 90 80	0 10 20	23.69 23.38 23.90	13.02 11.98 12.90	45.04 48.76 46.02

Table-14 M20 average % of 28 days Strength deterioration when immersed in H2SO4



M20

M30 average % of 7 days strength deterioration when immersed inH2SO4

Mi	% of	% of	7days	7days Avg.	Average
x.	Cem	Quar	Avg.	Compressive	% of
No	ent	ry	Compres	Strength	Strength
		Dust	sive	after	deteriora
			Strength	immersion(H	tion
			before	2SO4)	

Published by: The Mattingley Publishing Co., Inc.

			immersi on		
1	100	0	29.87	20.15	32.54
2	90	10	28.29	14.80	47.68
3	80	20	27.62	16.95	38.63
4	70	30	24.00	14.70	38.75



Table-15 M30 average % of 7 days strength deterioration when immersed in H2SO4 Fig-(9) 7days strength deterioration in H2SO4 – M30

M30 average % of 28 days strength deterioration when immersed inH2SO4

Mi x. No	% of Ceme nt	% of Quar ry Dust	28 days Avg. Compress ive Strength before immersio n	28 days Avg. Compress ive Strength after immersio n (H2SO4)	Average % of Strength deteriorat ion
1	100	0	41.70	14.55	65.10
2	90	10	40.54	13.90	65.70
3	80	20	42.50	14.40	66.10
4	70	30	39.78	13.60	65.81

Table-16 M30 average % of 28 days strength deterioration when immersed in H2SO4





Fig-(10) 28days strength deterioration in H2SO4 – M30

M40 average % of 7 days strength deterioration when immersed inH2SO4

Mi x. No	% of Ceme nt	% of Qua rr y Dust	7days Avg. Compress ive Strength before immersio n	7days Avg. Compress ive Strength after immersio n (H2SO4)	Average % of Strength deteriorat ion
1	100	0	35.50	26.80	24.50
2	90	10	35.75	17.20	51.88
3	80	20	33.54	18.00	46.33
4	70	30	29.27	16.30	44.30

Table-17 M40 average % of 7 days strength deterioration when immersed in H2SO4



Fig-(11) 7days strength deterioration in H2SO4 – M40

M40 average % of 28 days strength deterioration when immersed inH2SO4:

Mi x. No	% of Ceme nt	% of Quar ry Dust	28 days Avg. Compress ive Strength before immersio n	28 days Avg. Compress ive Strength after immersio n (H2SO4)	Average % of Strength deteriorat ion
1	100	0	53.80	15.50	71.19
2	90	10	53.50	12.45	76.73
3	80	20	52.45	14.30	72.74
4	70	30	49.60	12.30	75.20

Table-18 M40 average % of 28 days strength deterioration when immersed in H2SO4





M20 average % of 7 days strength deterioration when immersed in HCL

Mi x. No	% of Ceme nt	% of Quar ry Dust	7days Avg. Compress ive Strength before immersio n	7days Avg. Compress ive Strength after immersio n (H2SO4)	Average % of Strength deteriorat ion
1	100	0	18.48	26.95	- 45.83
2	90	10	18.30	26.10	- 42.62
3	80	20	20.75	22.18	- 6.89
4	70	30	17.43	20.20	- 15.89

Table-19 M20 average % of 7 days strength deterioration when immersed in HCL



M20

M20 average % of 28 days strength deterioration when immersed inHCL

Mi	% of	% of	28 days	28 days	Average
x.	Ceme	Quar	Avg.	Avg.	% of
No	nt	ry	Compress	Compress	Strength
		Dust	ive	ive	deteriorat
			Strength	Strength	ion
			before	after	
			immersio	immersio	
			n	n	
				(H2SO4)	
1	100	0	23.69	28.38	- 19.80

March - April 2020 ISSN: 0193-4120 Page No. 2020 - 2026



2	90	1023.38	30.39	- 29.98
3	80	2023.90	30.00	- 25.52
4	70	3020.62	23.25	- 12.75

Table-20 M20 average % of 28 days strength deterioration when immersed in HCL



Fig-(14) 28days strength deterioration in HCL-M20

M30 average % of 7 days strength deterioration when immersed in HCL

Mix .N o	% of Cem ent	% of Qua rry Dust	7days Avg. Compre ssive Strength before immersi on	7days Avg. Compressive Strength after immersion(H 2SO4)	Average % of Strength deterior ation
1	100	0	29.87	33.90	- 13.49
2	90	10	28.29	24.55	13.22
3	80	20	27.62	31.00	- 12.24
4	70	30	24.00	22.50	6.25

Table-21 M30 average % of 7 days strength deterioration when immersed in HCL



M30

M30 average % of 28 days strength deterioration when immersed inHCL

Mi	% of	% of	28 days	28 days	Average	
x.	Ceme	Quar	Avg.	Avg.	% of	
No	nt	ry	Compress	Compress	Strength	
		Dust	ive	ive	deteriorat	
			Strength	Strength	ion	

Published by: The Mattingley Publishing Co., Inc.

			before immersio n	after immersio n (H2SO4)	
1	100	0	41.70	30.88	25.95
2	90	10	40.54	31.25	22.92
3	80	20	42.56	30.48	28.38
4	70	30	39.78	25.35	36.27

Table-22M30 average % of 28 days strength

deterioration when immersed in HCL





M40 average % of 7 days strength deterioration when immersed in HCL

M x. N	Li o	% of Ceme nt	% of Quar ry Dust	7days Avg. Compress ive Strength before immersio n	7days Avg. Compress ive Strength after immersio n (H2SO4)	Average % of Strength deteriorat ion
	1	100	0	35.50	39.45	- 11.10
	2	90	10	35.75	36.35	- 1.68
	3	80	20	33.54	30.10	10.26
	4	70	30	29.27	29.80	- 1.80

Table-23M40 average % of 7 days strength deterioration when immersed in**HCL**



M40

M40 average % of 28 days strength deterioration when immersed inHCL

Mi x. No	% of Ceme nt	% of Quar ry Dust	28 days Avg. Compress ive Strength before	28 days Avg. Compress ive Strength after	Average % of Strength deteriorat ion
			immersio n	immersio n (H2SO4)	
1	100	0	53.80	34.85	35.22
2	90	10	53.50	31.88	40.41
3	80	20	52.45	28.85	44.99
4	70	30	49.60	26.05	47.48

Table-24 M40 average % of 28 days strength deterioration when immersed in HCL



Fig-(18) 28days strength deterioration in HCL-M40

VI. Conclusion

From 10% to 20% replacement of quarry dust with cement the strength properties areincreasedlinearlyandfrom20% to 30% of replace mentof quarry dust, decrease in strength of concrete wasobserved.NochangeofW/Cratiowasobserved by replacement of cement with quarry dust, as quarry dust is a waste material; W/C ratio was compared with cement concrete.From the experiments conducted, replacement of quarry dust can be made for cement, as cement content in concrete can be optimized.

VII. References

- [1] Ilangovana, R. Mahendrana, and N.Nagamanib, 2008. "Strength and durability properties of concrete containing quarry rock dust as fine aggregates", ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Science, Vol.3 (5), pp2026.
- [2] Valeria Corinaldesi, Giacomo Moriconi, and Tarun R. Naik. 2005.Characterization of marble powder for its use in mortar and

concrete. NMET/ACI international Symposium on Sustainable Development of Cement and Concrete, October 5-7, Toronto,Canada.

[3] **IS: 2386-1963** Part I to VII. Indian standard methods for test for aggregate for concrete. Bureau ofIndianstandards,NewDelhi.