

## Study on Input-Output Relationship in Milk Production in the **Dairy Farms of Kerala, India**

Elsa Elizabeth Jacob<sup>1</sup>, A.S Ambily<sup>2</sup> <sup>1&2</sup> Department Of Commerce and Management Amrita School of Arts and Sciences Kochi- 682024, India

#### Abstract

Article Info Volume 83 Page Number: 4556 - 4560 **Publication Issue:** July-August 2020

The study was undertaken in the dairy farms of Kerala to examine the main objective of the study that is the estimation of input -output relationship in the milk production using allocative efficiency theory. The study reveals that cost of green fodder, concentrates cost and veterinary cost had a positive significant relationship and the labour cost had a negative significant relationship with the value of milk production. The dairy farmers should reduce the usage of labour input in their farms to get a better return from the value of milk production. Awareness about the new technological advancements in the farms should be delivered to the farmers.

Keywords: Allocative efficiency, dairy farms, stochastic cost function.

Article History Article Received: 25 April 2020 Revised: 29 May 2020 Accepted: 20 June 2020 Publication: 10 August 2020

I. Introduction

Dairy farming is an important sector of Indian Economy. It address the food security issues in the nation at the same time provide employment opportunities. The Dairy sector contributes to 4.6 per cent of the national Gross Domestic Product (GDP)in 2019. The Milk production in India has increased from 55.6million tones of output (1991-92) to187.749 million tones of production(2018-19). The country is now self-sufficient in milk production and exports dairy products to other countries, but in a very lowpercentage. The milk produced in India is consumed largely for home consumptio. Kerala is the 12<sup>th</sup> largest milk producing state in India. The Co-operative systems in Kerala have a long history. It was implemented in Kerala as part of the 'Operation Flood' programme. The 'Operation Flood' is called as major dairy development programme formed in India. With the establishment of the Dairy Co-Operative Societies, gives the farmers an assurance

Published by: The Mattingley Publishing Co., Inc.

that every drop of their milk will be collected by the society. The society in return provides the milk

procurement price and at the same time provides subsides and incentives in the form of cattle feed, artificial insemination and veterinary services. Among the milk and milk products, liquid milk represents the major share of more than 71 percent in Kerala.(imarc report 2018). But the milk production is having a very slow growth. In 2006-2007, the production was at 21.9 million metric tones and in 2017-2018 it rose to 25.70 million metric tones. (Dairy development board, Kerala 2018), but the growth is very slow. The Kerala state has the highest cost of milk production while comparing with other states, due to the nonavailability of resources for the production process. Therefore, the present study focuses on the input output value relationship in the milk production of the dairy farms in Kerala. The relationship is estimated on the basis of allocative efficiency (Cost efficiency) estimation of milk production. Therefore the main objective of the study is to



understand whether the resources are over –utilized or under – utilized for the milk production process.

### **II. Materials and Methods**

A random sample survey of 150 commercial dairy producers was selected. Out of 14 districts in Kerala, five districts were selected randomly they were Alappuzha, Kottayam, Ernakulam, Thrissur and Palakkad. From each district, 30 dairy farmers were interviewed. The farmers were classified into small (1 to 4 milch animal) medium (5 to 6 milch animal) and large (greater than six milch animals) farmers, based on a number of milch animal holdings (Kumari et al . 2016). The milch animal means number of milking animals. Table 1 shows the number of farmers from different categories selected for the study.

Farmer Group	Number of Milch Animal	Number of Sampled Farmers	
Small	1 - 4	87	
Farmer			
Medium	5-6	37	
Farmer			
Large	Greater	26	
Farmer	than 6		
Tot	150		

# Table 1: Farm groups depending on the number of milch animals

The table 1 exhibits that small farmers are more in number in Kerala. Most of the farmers in Kerala consider dairy farming as a secondary occupation; therefore the milch animal holdings will also be very less. The survey was conducted during the month of January to March 2020. Data was collected through personal interview. For the collection of socio-economic characteristics, input prices, amount of milk produced and other related aspects, a structured questionnaire was designed. The hypothesis was designed to find whether the dairy farmers are allocatively efficienct and the allocative efficiency of the farmers are same across the sample districts. Based on Farrell's theory, there are two methods to use for the estimation of efficiency; one is Stochastic Production Frontier method (Meeuseen and van den Broeck, 1977) and the Data Envelopment Analysis (Charnes et al. 1978). The paper has used stochastic cost function and one way ANOVA tests to run the hypotheses.

### **III. Theroetical Framework**

The efficiency is an important estimator in agricultural production economics. It is used for comparing the actual attained production value against what is attainable at the production frontier. It tries to prove the basic input –output relationship at the time of milk production process. The allocative efficiency deals with the ability to allocate the input bundle at a given level of output in a least cost method. This has been estimated using a Cobb-Douglas stochastic cost function. The study considers the value of milk produced as the endogenous and all other inputs as the exogenous variables which work for the production of milk.

The model specified in this study is expressed as

$$\mathbf{C} = f(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5)$$

The empirical form of the model was expressed as:

 $C = f(X_{green feed costs}, X_{dry feed costs}, X_{concentrates costs}, X_{labour cost}, X_{veterinary cost})$ 

The Cobb-Douglas stochastic cost function was elucidated as follows:

$$C = \beta 0 X_1 \beta 1 X_2 \beta 2 X_3 \beta 3 X_4 \beta 4 X_5 \beta 5 e^{v \cdot u}$$

Taking the natural logarithms of both sides, the log linear form of the cost function becomes:

 $lnC = \beta 0 + \beta 1 lnX_1 + \beta 2 lnX_2 + \beta 3 lnX_3 + \beta 4 lnX_4 + X5\beta_5 + (vi - ui)$ 

Where:

lnC = natural logarithm of the value of milk production



 $lnX_1$  = natural logarithm of the total cost of green fodder used in each farm

 $lnX_2$  = natural logarithm of total cost of dry fodder used in each farm

 $lnX_3$  = natural logarithm of total cost of concentrates used in each farm

 $lnX_4$  = natural logarithm of total cost of labours

 $lnX_5 = natural logarithm of total veterinary cost$ 

( $\beta$ 0,  $\beta$ 1,  $\beta$ 2,  $\beta$ 3,  $\beta$ 4and  $\beta$ 5= are unknown parameters to be estimated

(vi - ui) = e = stochastic error term

#### **IV. Results and Discussion**

The main characteristics of the sampled dairy farms average characteristics shown in table 2.

Variables	Ν	Minim	Maxim	Mea	Standa
		um	um	n	rd
					Deviati
					on
Value of	15	21.54	7084	961.6	1194.1
milk	0			2	
production					
Milch	15	1	18	2.81	2.62
Animal	0				
(Number)					
Experience	15	1	50	18.79	11.842
of farmer (	0				
years )					
Age of	15	27	80	56.26	10.760
Farmer	0				
(Years)					
Education	15	0	17	8.79	4.79
of	0				
farmer(Ye					
ars)					
Green	15	.00	2000	127.9	233.13
fodder	0			2	
Cost					
Dry	15	.00	1450	65.87	148.64
Fodder	0				
Cost					
Concentrat	15	16	4968.0	529.3	665.52
es cost	0			8	

Labour	15	1500	51500	7491	5599.0
Cost	0				
Veterinary	15	480.0	1950.0	802.2	281.44
Cost	0			40	

# Table 2: Average statistics of the characteristicsof sampled dairy farms

The average age of the dairy farmer is 56 years with average education is eight years and of 18.79 years of experience in the dairy farming activities. The average value of green fodder, dry fodder, concentrates and veterinary cost are 127.92, 65.68, 529.38 and 7491for per day during the production process in the farm.

Maximum likelihood estimates of the Cobb Douglas cost functions.

Variable	Parameters	Co-	Standard	t-ratio
		efficient	Error	
Constant	β <sub>0</sub>	.258	.7115	.363
Green	β1	.1474	.534	.275**
Fodder cost				
Dry Fodder	β2	.113	.2664	.426
Cost				
Concentrates	β3	.563	.657	.857**
Cost				
Labour cost	β4	3106	.6006	-
				.517**
Veterinary	β5	.964	.836	.115**
cost				
Variance		.66		
ratio				

### Table 3: Maximum likelihood estimates of cob Douglas cost function of the sampled dairy farmers

, \*\*significant at 5 percent level ( p value < 0.05)

The table 3 depicts the maximum likelihood estimates of allocative efficiency, the value of green fodder, the value of concentrates and veterinary cost shows a positive and statistically significant relationship with the value of milk production. These resources are underutilized in the farms. One per cent increase in the exogenous variables taken in the study (value of green fodder, concentrates and veterinary cost) will give rise increase the milk production by 14 percent, 56 percent and 96 percent



respectively. The labour cost shows a negative sign, which implies that there is an indirect relationship between exogenous variable and the value of milk production. The labour cost is over –utilized in the farms. Any one per cent increase in the variable will reduce the value of milk production by 31 per cent. The value of dry fodder is positive with the value of milk production but found insignificant with output. The mean efficiency is only 61.3 per cent. The variation in the milk production is 66 percent, which is under the control of farmers; the rest portion is the random error occurred due to seasonal variations or measurement changes.

### **Hypotheses Testing**

Hypothesis 1

H<sub>0</sub>= Dairy farmers are allocatively efficient

It was proven from the stochastic cost function that they are not efficient. The mean efficiency is only 61.3 percent. Hence the null hypothesis is rejected. The dairy farmers are not allocatively efficient.

Hypothesis 2

 $H_0$ = Allocative efficiency is same across the different farm sizes

	Sum of Squa res	df	Mean Squar e	F	Sig.
Between	.064	2	.032	1.43	.001*
Groups					*
Within	3.291	147	.022	3	
Groups					
Total	3.355	149			

### Table 5 : ANOVA Results

\*\*significant at 5 percent level ( p value < 0.05)

The table 5, shows that there is statistical significant difference between the groups are proven by one way Anova. The p value is less than 0.05. The allocative efficiency is not same across the farm sizes. The null hypothesis is rejected, stating the allocative efficiency is different across

the districts. The larger farmer is more allocatively efficient in using the inputs required for the farm. These results are agreeing with earlier studies conducted by Mburu et al. (2014) and Jha et al . (2000).

### V. Conclusion

The study estimates the relationship between input–output of milk production using allocative efficiency of dairy farmers in Kerala state using stochastic cost functions. It has found that allocative efficienct of milk production is very low. The mean efficiency was estimated to be 61.3 per cent. Dairy farms have the potential to increase their efficiency by 38.7 per cent. It's also revealed that allocative efficiency differs across the farm sizes. Through proper education and training, the cost of production can be reduced; therefore, allocative efficiency can be achieved. The dairy farmers should minimize the use of labour cost. There should be a proper education and training concerning the use of inputs for the production.

### REFERENCES

- Al-Sharafat A(2013) Technical efficiency of dairy farms: A stochastic Frontier Application on dairy farms in Jordan. Journal of Agricultural science, 53:45-53.
- [2] Charnes A ,Cooper W W,Rhodes E (1978). Measuring the efficiency of decision making units. European journal of operational research, 2:429-44.
- [3] Coelli T J (1996). A guide to frontier Version 4.1: A computer program for scholastic frontier production and cost function estimation. University of New England, Armidale, England
- [4] Farrell M J (1957) The measurement of productive efficiency. Journal of Royal Statistics of Society,120: 253-290.
- [5] IMARC Report (2018) Dairy Industry in Kerala: Market Size, Growth, Prices, Segments, Cooperatives, Private Dairies,



Procurement and Distribution. Retrieved from <u>https://www.imarcgroup.com/dairy-</u> industry-kerala

- [6] Jha R , Chitkara P, Gupta S (2000). Productivity, technical and allocative efficiency and farm size in wheat farming in India: a DEA approach. Applied Economics Letters, 7: 1-5.
- [7] Kumari Binita, Malhotra Ravinder, Chauhan A K (2016). Impact of women dairy cooperatives on economics of milk production in Begusarai district of Bihar . Indian Journal of dairy sciences 69 : 487-49.
- [8] Mburu S, Ackello-Ogutu C, Mulwa R .(2014). Analysis of economic efficiency and farm size : A case study of wheat farmers in Nakuru district, Kenya. Economics Research International.
- [9] Meeusen W , Van Den Brock J (1977). Efficiency estimation from Cobb-Douglas production functions with composite error. International Economic Review, 18:435-44.